2011Jul-14 PM 04:32 U.S. DISTRICT COURT N.D. OF ALABAMA

1 2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ALABAMA NORTHEASTERN DIVISION
3	JAMON T. BRIM, *
5 6	Plaintiff, * 10-CV-00369-IPJ * February 23, 2011 vs. * Florence, Alabama
7	* 8:50 a.m. MIDLAND CREDIT MANAGEMENT,* INC., Defendant. *
9	**************
10 11	TRANSCRIPT OF JURY TRIAL BEFORE THE HONORABLE INGE P. JOHNSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
12	VOLUME II
L 3 L 4	FOR THE PLAINTIFF: MR. LEONARD A. BENNETT, ESQ.
15 16 17	CONSUMER LITIGATION ASSOCIATES 12515 Warwick Blvd Suite 100 Newport News, VA 23606 757-930-3660
18 19 20	MS. PENNY HAYS CAULEY, ESQ. HAYS CAULEY P O Box 509 Darlington, SC 29540 843-393-5200
21 22 23	MR. RONALD C. SYKSTUS, ESQ. BOND, BOTES, SYKSTUS & LARSEN 415 Church Street Suite 100 Huntsville, AL 35801 256-539-9899
24 25	CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

FOR THE DEFENDANT:

MR. ERIC B. LANGLEY, ESQ. BALCH & BINGHAM 1710 Sixth Avenue North P O Box 306 Birmingham, AL 35201-0306 205-251-8100

MR. JASON B. TOMPKINS, ESQ. BALCH & BINGHAM
1901 Sixth Avenue North
Suite 1500
Birmingham, AL 35203
205-251-8100

 ${\it CHERYL~K.~POWELL},~{\it CCR},~{\it RPR},~{\it FCRR}$

1	T N D & V	
2	I N D E X	\ C E
		AGE
3		7 7
4	BY MR. BENNETT	77
5	ANGELIQUE ROSS	
6	DIRECT EXAMINATION	8 9
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION	136
8		
9		
10	BY MS. CAULEY	156
11	CROSS-EXAMINATION BY MR. LANGLEY	163
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	PROFESSIONAL COURT REPORTING	

100 Jefferson Street, Suite 200
Huntsville, Alabama 35801
(256) 533-1703

PROCEEDINGS

(In open court. Jury not

present.)

08:50:04

08:50:20 10

08:50:40 15

08:50:52 20

08:51:06 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: This is CV-10-369.

Jamon -- he's not here.

MS. CAULEY: He is, Your Honor.

He had to run something down to the car.

THE COURT: All right. Brim versus Midland Credit Management, Inc. And everybody is here except Mr. Brim.

Do you want to proceed? You haven't asked me to put this on the record, but I don't know how else to deal with it. And I'm utterly confused, because yesterday the defendants vigorously opposed plaintiff's supplemental motion in limine, and now they filed their own supplemental motion in limine.

I think the thing to do is for the two of y'all to sit down and figure out whether you want to call this stipulated facts or not.

MR. BENNETT: Judge, we agree with their stipulations. The only caveat is -- I think we resolved that this morning, as well. In their second stipulation, they again use the word, "affiliated," whereas the Court has already

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

08:51:22

08:51:28 10

08:51:38 15

08:51:48 20

08:52:06 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

determined under 56(d) that it is a subsidiary.

Other than that, Judge, we would ask that those stipulations be included within the stipulations that have already been --

THE COURT: Now, you understand -you weren't here yesterday. You understand they
do not agree with yours.

MR. BENNETT: I understand, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BENNETT: I would suggest to the Court I wasn't here. You've already ruled. Those were taking from their briefings, their pleadings, and this Court's order that was not -- in fact, some of it was verbatim from the 30(b)(6) witness.

THE COURT: I'll tell you, since you weren't here yesterday, that Number 2 was undisputed by the defendants. Number 5 was undisputed by the defendants. Number 6 was undisputed by the defendants. Number 7 was undisputed by the defendants. Number 9 was undisputed by the defendants. Number 12 was undisputed by the defendants. Number 12 was undisputed by the defendants. And if I understood correctly, Number 13, with the changes I made, was undisputed by the defendants. And

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Number 14 was undisputed by the defendants.

Number 8 was disputed by them, but I looked it

up. And I'm saying it's okay. I struck Number

10. Number 11 should be okay with the defendants

because I took out the issue about 95 percent or

99 percent. And that was it.

MR. BENNETT: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Do y'all want me to read it to the jury before you make opening statements?

MR. BENNETT: Yes, Your Honor.
MS. CAULEY: Yes.

MR. BENNETT: Your Honor, again, in the same category of let's make this an efficient trial, we have gone through the exhibits with the defendant. The Court has already previously ruled at the final pretrial, but to the extent that there was any issue remaining, I have suggested and my opposing counsel has suggested right back at us -- we jointly suggest that it would be better for the trial process if we can move before the jury is seated -- they don't have to be here for the admission of the exhibits. They're clean. It will expedite the trial. It will prevent

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

08:52:24

8

08:52:34 10

11

12

13

14

18

08:52:40 15

16 17

19

08:52:58 20

22 23

24

08:53:14 25

everybody from having to interrupt testimony for checking boxes. And to that objective, the parties have withdrawn objections that might be outstanding or lingering with just a couple of exceptions.

With respect to their exhibits, the only thing we object to is Exhibit 21, which is the unredacted -- I'm sorry. The redacted contract that the Court considered at the final pretrial.

We have still not received redacted version -- my opposing counsel says they're not sure if they're going to use it or not. But if they're going to use it, we've still never seen what they're going to use. We would continue to object to Exhibit 21.

There were other documents, like their better business bureau matter, the Court had withheld the decision on. We will withdraw our objection to it.

The Dell deposition the reading in entirety. They have two objections to two plaintiff's exhibits.

THE COURT: Let me go back to

Defendant's Exhibit 21. I ruled that the price

they paid was not to be redacted. Only the other

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

08:53:28

ø

08:53:42 10

12 13

11

14

08:53:56 15

16 17

18

19

08:54:08 20

22

23

24

08:54:18 25

```
lawsuits.
                         MR. BENNETT: Correct.
                         THE COURT: Are you saying now
         you're not sure you're not going to use the
         contract?
08:54:26
                         MR. LANGLEY: That's correct.
                         THE COURT: Okay. So until --
                         MR. BENNETT: We don't have a copy
         even if they're going -- we would object --
08:54:34 10
                         THE COURT: Well, if they decide
     11
         to use it, they have to give you a copy
         beforehand.
     12
     13
                         MR. BENNETT: Yes, Judge.
                         MR. LANGLEY: We understand that,
     14
08:54:40 15
         Your Honor.
                         THE COURT: All right.
     16
     17
                         MR. BENNETT: With that, I expect
         that the defendant could move to stand up with
     18
         their trial book, as I will do in a moment with
     19
08:54:50 20
         ours, and say, Judge, we move for the admission
         of these defense exhibits, and we will say we
     21
     22
         have no objection except 21 and it's done.
     23
                         THE COURT: Okay. That's great.
     24
                         MR. LANGLEY: And then the only
08:55:02 25
        remaining issue would be with a couple of the
```

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

exhibits in their book. These are objections that we had made, I believe, shortly before the pretrial conference that the Court did not actually reach. That was the one part of the objections that the Court did not consider that day. Some of them have been resolved through Your Honor's rulings on the motion in limine. And I will just assume those objections are preserved by virtue --

THE COURT: They are. They're ruled on by my order.

MR. LANGLEY: I'm going to ignore those completely for now.

The two remaining issues -- first of all, Plaintiff's Exhibit 9 and 16 they've agreed to withdraw. So that's no longer an issue. Which leaves two documents.

Document Number 8, which is an organizational structure chart of the parent company of Midland Credit Management, Inc., a company called Encore Capital Group. And given that it is now deemed stipulated or undisputed by the Court that Midland Funding, L.L.C., the entity who actually purchases the debts from Dell, is a wholly-owned subsidiary, it would be

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

08:55:14

9

08:55:28 10

12

11

13

14 08:55:36 15

16

18

17

19

08:55:50 20

21

22

24

08:56:06 25

unnecessary proof. We think it is irrelevant in the first place. But given that now the Court has determined that there's no disputed fact about Midland Funding, L.L.C. being a subsidiary, we think it would be cumulative and, in any event, to the extent there's other information on there, the danger of unfair prejudice would outweigh any probative value.

MR. BENNETT: Judge, that wouldn't be the use for which we would put it.

Capital, Encore Capital is just the parent.

Midland Credit Management, the defendant in this case, the evidence will be, handles all the issues. They're wholly owned. They operate as a single component of Encore Capital. The logos say Encore Capital, Midland Credit Management.

The description in the annual report, which is the next exhibit they're adopting an objection for refers to it as a single operating entity.

And the jury is entitled to know that.

This is important because this Encore

Capital is the only publically-traded component

of the defendant. They have resisted providing

any financial information. And the net worth,

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

08:56:24

8

08:56:36 10

12 13

11

14

08:56:50 15

17 18

16

19

08:57:06 20

22

24

08:57:20 25

the shareholder's net equity is available from their 10-K that they publically -- they operate as Midland.

THE COURT: All right. I'm going to overrule that objection. And the other one? The other document?

MR. LANGLEY: The other document which ties directly into something Mr. Bennett just said is the 10-K, Encore Capital's 10-K, which contains all the financial information for the parent company.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LANGLEY: And to the extent that the plaintiffs are arguing that it's all one single entity operating one in the same, there's just not in evidence and there won't be any during trial to essentially pierce the veil which is kind of what Mr. Bennett is arguing.

MR. BENNETT: Well, Judge, the report itself describes all the operations of Midland. It doesn't say Encore does something different. It talks about the resolution of -- it talks about their collections dynamic statistics. Talks about Midland's involvement in various components of Encore's business. It

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

08:57:30

9

08:57:46 10

12 13

14

08:57:56 15

17

18

19

08:58:10 20

21

22

24

08:58:28 25

is -- and it is relevant. The question is: Is it the very best evidence in the entire universe? Maybe not. It would be better to have an annual report from Midland. But it doesn't issue an annual report. It doesn't have this information.

There's nothing prejudicial in here.

There's nothing untrue in here. It is certainly relevant. The defendant is able to argue, just as we're able to argue, about all the various components of it. But it is admissible. Encore collects, and it collects as Midland.

THE COURT: Well, it is a public document, isn't it, the one filed?

MR. BENNETT: Yes, Your Honor.

MR. LANGLEY: That is the 10-K filed. We're not going to dispute that. What we're going to dispute is, first, that it's relevant to any material issue in the case. Because all it contains is Encore's financial information.

Second, this is very much a veil-piercing argument that the plaintiffs are making here.

And veil piercing is very fact intensive, very rare circumstance. I mean, absent unusual circumstances, the corporate forms are observed,

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

08:58:48

9

08:59:00 10

12

11

13

14 08:59:08 15

16

17 18

19

08:59:22 20

22

21

23 24

08:59:36 25

08:59:50

09:00:06 10

09:00:20 15

09:00:32 20

09:00:52 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

and we just don't think there's any evidence to support the theory that plaintiffs are arguing.

MR. BENNETT: Judge, this is -this annual report -- we're not attempting to sue
Encore Capital. And if the Court enters
judgment, if we're somehow successful, the
judgment is not against Encore Capital. This is
evidence about the collection operation of
Midland. And Midland is discussed throughout it.
I mean, it's clearly relevant.

THE COURT: Well, there is a claim for punitive damages and willful violation. And it would certainly be relevant to that. I'm going to overrule that objection. It is a public document. It discusses Midland's financial situation and its business practice as the same as filed and public in the public record. I'm just going to let it in.

MR. BENNETT: Judge, with that, on those bases, plaintiff would move for the admission of our exhibits. And Your Honor, the plaintiff's exhibit list -- we have also given the stipulations, attempted to reduce -- the trees are already dead, Your Honor, but we've attempted to reduce the number of exhibits and

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

paper that would confront the jury.

You see Ms. Cauley has crossed through a number of exhibits. We're withdrawing those.

Rather than renumber, given the record has already been established, we'll just deal with that issue with the jury.

I can tell them in opening that the parties have worked together to reduce the number or if the Court chooses to or if defense wants to to explain why there are certain documents --

THE COURT: The jury usually doesn't get confused about the document numbers skipping. They get confused if there is another exhibit number from a deposition. So I hope we just have one exhibit number on the exhibits. Trial exhibit number.

MS. CAULEY: There is a trial exhibit. On some of them, it does have the deposition exhibit from the deposition, but we've tried to make that very clear. And we've reduced -- we've taken out even the excess exhibits from those depositions that we don't need. So they'll just hear the testimony. But they won't have extra documents.

MR. BENNETT: They're all number

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:01:00

9

09:01:14 10

12 13

14

09:01:28 15

16 17

19

18

09:01:38 20

21 22

23

24

09:01:50 25

tabbed as well as court stickered. MS. CAULEY: To that list, Your Honor, we are adding the 82, which is the annual report which you just allowed in. And that would be -- that's Plaintiff's Exhibit 82. 09:02:00 THE COURT: You're offering all the ones except for the ones you've marked out? MR. BENNETT: Yes. THE COURT: And with the objection 09:02:10 10 of the defendant noted to Exhibit Number 8 and 11 82, those are admitted. And you're offering -do you have a list, too? 12 13 MR. LANGLEY: To make sure I understand, the previous placeholder for Exhibit 14 09:02:24 15 77 is now going to be empty, and the annual 16 report will be 82? MR. BENNETT: Yes. 17 MR. LANGLEY: That was the 18 objection the Court had noted and overruled. Am 19 09:02:32 20 I correct about that? MR. BENNETT: Yes. 21 22 MR. LANGLEY: Thank you. 23 this time, the defendants will move to admit Exhibits 1 through 22 with the exception of 24 Exhibit 21 as discussed earlier. I will also 09:02:48 25

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

note for the Court that Exhibits 23 through 26 are the plaintiff's settlement agreements with other defendants whom we contend are source of the same alleged injury. But the Court has withheld ruling on that. We don't intend to 09:03:06 reference it in opening. And in fact, we will likely not even seek to admit it during the plaintiff's case. If at all, it would be more likely in the setoff context in the event there's a verdict for plaintiff. 09:03:20 10 11 THE COURT: Okay. So you just 12 have One through 22, but you're not sure with 21, 13 so you're withholding 21? MR. LANGLEY: Yes, Your Honor. 14 THE COURT: And 23 through 26 are 09:03:28 15 16 the settlements, and you're not offering those, at least not at this time. 17 MR. LANGLEY: We don't even have 18 them. 19 09:03:38 20 THE COURT: Well, how could you number them? 21 22 MR. LANGLEY: Because we had 23 subpoenaed them to trial. I believe they have 24 them, pursuant to Your Honor's order at the

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

09:03:46 25

pretrial conference.

THE COURT: All right. MS. CAULEY: Your Honor, we do have jury notebooks. We have them for Your Honor, and then we have them for the jury. Is there a time --09:03:54 THE COURT: Do you have 12? MS. CAULEY: We do. We've already given defense counsel a copy. We have a copy for Your Honor. 09:04:00 10 THE COURT: You can put them on 11 their chairs. I still want to go back. Do y'all want me 12 to read the undisputed facts before you make 13 opening statements? 14 09:04:10 15 MS. CAULEY: Yes, Your Honor. 16 That would be great. MR. LANGLEY: And defendants 17 18 agree. 19 THE COURT: Okay. 09:04:18 20 MR. LANGLEY: Your Honor, we do not have 12 copies of our notebook. I don't 21 22 think that will be a problem until we get to our 23 case. And we will make efforts to get 12 of them 24 by tomorrow. 09:04:28 25 THE COURT: That's great.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

MR. BENNETT: Your Honor, may I ask the Court's courtesy? What discretion must I show with respect to movement from the podium? THE COURT: Everyone in here except for the parties can move about like they 09:04:42 want to. I don't care where -- as long as you don't intimidate the witnesses. Okay? Don't go right up in their face. And that applies to everybody. But you can move about. You don't 09:04:56 10 have to stand at the podium. You can stand over 11 there. You can do whatever you want to. 12 If you're going to use the Elmo, which you 13 probably won't since you have notebooks, but if you are going to use it, somebody needs to sit 14 there. And it cannot be Tammi. To run it. 09:05:08 15 16 MR. BENNETT: Even though she's 17 the only one who --THE COURT: She's Elmo's best 18 friend. I'll put it that way. I assume you're 19 09:05:18 20 not going to use it either since you have 21 exhibits in paper form, right? 22 MR. LANGLEY: I'm sorry. 23 THE COURT: Are you going to use the Elmo? 24 09:05:28 25 MR. LANGLEY: We will be using the

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Elmo. THE COURT: Are you going to have one sitting there, running it, while the other one asks questions? MR. LANGLEY: Yes. Or someone 09:05:34 will sit there and ask the questions. We'll figure it out. THE COURT: That's fine. MR. LANGLEY: Your Honor, there's one more matter we would like to raise. We were 09:05:40 10 11 actually talking about this when Court convened. 12 It relates to the deposition testimony of Angelique Ross which we went through yesterday. 13 Procedurally, we're trying to figure out 14 how the testimony comes in. And what we had 09:05:56 15 proposed is, for example, if it's Penny on the 16 stand, playing the part of Angelique Ross that 17 when it gets to one of our designations, I will 18 just ask the question of her, and she will read 19 09:06:12 20 it? THE COURT: I think that's good. 21 22 MR. LANGLEY: I don't know if we 23 have agreement from the other side yet. 24 MR. BENNETT: It's just process. 09:06:22 25 There's no objection in principle. But the

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

problem is we have gone through -- as Your Honor knows, we designated our deposition parts. We provided that to the defendant. And the important part here is that we then highlighted the deposition. We've had -- I won't say rehearsed, but they've gone through that.

The defendant wants to use other parts which we think are insomnia cures and little else. We don't have any objection on principle to them coming in. The question is do we have to put their evidence in.

THE COURT: No.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ BENNETT: Our suggestion is they do that, and that's fine.

THE COURT: No. The way I understand it -- the way I've done it is I assume Ms. Cauley is going to read Ms. Ross --

MS. CAULEY: Actually, I'm going to do the questioning since I took her deposition, and Mr. Sykstus is going to answer.

THE COURT: I assume you're going to ask the questions that you have highlighted and pointed out that you're going to read from her deposition.

MS. CAULEY: That's correct.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:06:40

8

09:06:58 10

12

11

13

14

09:07:06 15

17

18

19

09:07:22 20

21

22

23

24

09:07:32 25

THE COURT: And then the defendant would then read their questions that they want to read afterwards? MR. LANGLEY: What we had proposed --09:07:42 THE COURT: I know what you're proposing is that you read it as you go through it. No. You can read your own questions afterwards. 09:07:46 10 MR. LANGLEY: Fair enough. 11 THE COURT: All right. Do you want these two back? 12 MS. CAULEY: Yes, Your Honor. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. Now I'm going 09:08:26 15 to go because Cheryl has to work on my computer. 16 It's not working. COURTROOM DEPUTY: Judge, I need 17 to make sure their sound is going to work, too, 18 anyway before I bring them in. 19 09:08:38 20 THE COURT: All right. (Short recess.) 21 22 (In open court. Jury present.) 23 THE COURT: Please be seated. 24 Good morning. Let the record show this is 09:30:36 25 CV-10-369. Jamon Brim versus Midland Credit

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

09:31:02

09:31:18 10

09:31:24 15

09:31:36 20

09:31:52 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Management, Inc. And Mr. Brim is present.

Mr. Edrozo is present. Mr. Yang is present. The lawyers are present. The jury is present. And good morning to everybody.

I should say that you didn't meet one of the lawyers for the plaintiff yesterday, Len Bennett. He's seated on my right. I told you yesterday he was excused yesterday for being in court yesterday. But he's here today.

MR. BENNETT: Good morning. Thank you, Judge.

 $\label{eq:the_court} \mbox{THE COURT: You met all the}$ others.

I told you yesterday the first thing you'll hear is the opening statement first by the plaintiff and then by the defendant. And then we'll actually start hearing the evidence.

I want to tell you that certain facts in this case -- and you'll hear more about the case as we go through it. Certain facts are undisputed. And that means that they don't need additional evidence to establish their existence. They're undisputed by the parties. And before the parties actually give you their opening statements, I'm just going to read you those

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

undisputed facts because they're undisputed and stipulated to by the parties.

First of all, Midland, which is just short for the defendant, services credit accounts its wholly-owned subsidiary, Midland Funding, purchases from other companies. Midland Funding purchases debts from unrelated creditors such as credit card companies and financing companies that those entities have charged off.

Two, Midland bought, as part of a large portfolio of other consumer credit accounts, an account from Dell Financial that the plaintiff, who is Mr. Brim, had previously owed to Dell.

Midland agrees that it is responsible for the accuracy of the information it reports to the credit bureaus.

On September the 14th, 2004, the plaintiff; that is Mr. Brim, purchased a Dell computer financed by Dell Financial in an amount of \$914.25 and a surge protector in the amount of \$20.53.

On November the 8th, 2004, plaintiff,
Mr. Brim, transferred \$954.12 from his bank
account at Redstone Federal Credit Union to Dell
Financial to pay off the account in full.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter
1729 Fifth Avenue, North
Birmingham, AL 35203
256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:32:14

9

09:32:32 10

12

13

09:32:54 15

17

18

16

19

09:33:12 20

22

24

09:33:34 25

The plaintiff, Mr. Brim, disputed the attempt by Midland, the defendant, to collect on the Dell account several times, providing it with his bank statement from Redstone Federal Credit Union, listing the November 8th, 2004, payment to Dell.

Prior to November of 2008 after it
purchased the Dell account, Midland began
reporting the account to the plaintiff's credit
files with Equifax, Transunion, and Experian, the
national consumer reporting agencies, as an
unpaid collection account.

An ACDV, which stands for automated consumer dispute verification, form is the ordinary manner in which consumer disputes to the credit reporting agencies are forwarded to a credit information furnisher such as Midland.

When Midland received the ACDVs; that is the automated consumer dispute verification, from Mr. Brim from Equifax, Transunion, and Experian, it used its automated batch interface system to respond. Midland receives approximately 8,000 ACDVs, disputes, per week.

When Midland uses its automated batch interface systems to process consumer disputes

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:33:56

9

11

09:34:10 10

12 13

14 09:34:30 15

16

17 18

19 09:34:50 20

21

22 23

24

09:35:12 25

received in the ACDVs, no employees of Midland
Credit Management, Inc. reviews the dispute until
it has been through the interface system one
time.

In investigating Mr. Brim's ACDV disputes, Midland did not contact him, Dell, the consumer reporting agencies, or any other person or company.

Mr. Brim purchased a computer in September of 2004, using a line of credit from Dell Financial Services.

In November of 2004, Mr. Brim paid off the account with a payment of \$954.12 to Dell Financial Services via an ACH debit. That is a telephone check.

The November, 2004, payment was misapplied to the account of another Dell Financial Services customer. Thus Dell Financial Service records reflected that Mr. Brim's account was unpaid at the time the account was sold to Midland Funding.

On October the 10th, 2007, Dell Financial Services sold the account to Midland Funding as a subsidiary of Midland Credit Management. And Midland instructed the credit reporting agencies to delete Mr. Brim's account upon being advised

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:35:30

S

09:35:46 10

13

12

14

09:36:06 15

16

18

17

19 09:36:22 20

21

22

23 24

09:36:40 25

by Dell Financial Computer Systems in August of 2010 that Mr. Brim's account had been paid off in November of 2004.

These are undisputed facts. Which means that they don't require any additional evidence. They are proven to you. Okay?

And are you going to start?

MR. BENNETT: I am, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BENNETT: Good morning. My name is Leonard Bennett. The Court has been courteous in allowing me to finish my hearing in Richmond, Virginia yesterday and actually, unbeknownst to the Court, at 11:00 o'clock. Before that, with my three year old who has croup. So it has been a whirlwind for me.

And I appreciate the courtesy that you show and -- as well, certainly, of Mr. Brim, my client, allowing me to appear today and not yesterday.

This case is a consumer protection case.

It is a Fair Credit Reporting Act case, which is why I would be here from Virginia, which is why Ms. Cauley, despite her Alabama roots, now practices in Florence, South Carolina -- why she

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:36:58

8

11

09:37:12 10

12 13

14

09:37:28 15

17

18

16

19

09:37:44 20

21

22

24

09:37:58 25

09:38:16

09:38:28 10

09:38:40 15

09:38:56 20

09:39:14 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

is here. We are full-time consumer protection Fair Credit Reporting Act attorneys.

We are working with Mr. Sykstus, Ron
Sykstus, who is a Huntsville attorney. I learned
last night that he actually is from Chicago. But
his Alabama wife convinced him to come down here
years ago. I said I wouldn't tell the jury that,
but that's where he's from. He's not from where
we're from, but his wife is.

He's practiced here for a long period of time. He does consumer credit, consumer bankruptcy, and the type of work that an individual like the person that matters to us in this case, Mr. Brim, would need.

Mr. Brim was born in Tupelo, Mississippi.

And I'm from Virginia. We don't have SEC teams.

I don't know if that's good or bad. But he is from Mississippi. Came here 11 years ago to go to college. Graduated with a bachelor of science degree in business management from Alabama A & M. He is now 33 years old. Going to turn 34 this year. Mr. Brim is unmarried. He works currently with Yellow Book, which is a company that distributes the phonebooks. He oversees large territories.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

The facts that you've heard, the facts that were stipulated, from our perspective, resolve the most significant parts of this case. This case is about the Fair Credit Reporting Act. That's all it is.

The judge at the end of this trial will instruct you as to the law. This right now is opening. This is a point which the lawyers can introduce themselves. Can provide context and background to their client's claim as well as can outline what we expect the facts to be.

The big notebook that we're to blame for is sitting on your lap. That's the plaintiff's exhibits. Those that the parties have resolved. The Court has already ruled or admitted. The defendant has a set of exhibits. I don't know if they have binders for you. But we're technologically together here in this courtroom. And you'll be able to see the exhibits for both parties on the screens.

This case is about the Fair Credit

Reporting Act. The sequencing of the process,

the trial -- the way that it works, if you

haven't sat through one before, this is opening

statement. I'm barred from arguing the case.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:39:28

9

09:39:40 10

12 13

11

14

09:39:56 15

16 17

18

19

21

22

24

09:40:22 25

09:40:38

09:40:48 10

09:41:00 15

09:41:12 20

09:41:26 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

The defendant is barred from arguing the case. We're advocates.

The way we tell you these objective facts, of course, assume an outcome that we will ask for in the end in our closing. But opening is where the parties say, here's what we think the evidence will show.

Much of you heard -- I will over the next 15, 20 minutes outline what we think the most salient or important parts of that evidence will be. We will put it up on the screen, and I'll go through some of that.

But the Fair Credit Reporting Act. You heard a bit about this, I guess, yesterday. The core part, without giving you what the law requires, which is for opening -- the core part of the Fair Credit Reporting Act is a dispute right that you have. It requires, when a consumer makes disputes to the credit reporting agencies, certain actions by the furnisher of credit information. It is a fail safe. Mistakes happen. Right?

This was an account that in 2004 my client paid off within a month of when he bought this

Dell computer. And he did it with an electronic

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

checking account. And he did it. And he kept his bank statement, which is far more than I could say for much of my conduct in '04.

This is now 2011. This lawsuit was filed in early 2010. The stipulation of the defendant, the evidence you've just heard, is that it came off only after August, 2010, with the responding contact between Dell and Midland.

And in fact, the evidence will tell you it actually came out of his credit report in September of 2010. Nine months or so, eight months after this lawsuit was active. The account at issue was a collection account.

May we see the screen for our computer, please?

You have these in your books. And as we go through them, you'll have a copy of all that I'm going to put up here. But I'd like to walk you through it and tell you why I start with this one here. This is a Dell document -- I mean, I'm sorry. A Midland document.

Midland is a debt buyer. It buys or accepts debts that the original creditor couldn't collect.

In this case, it bought a portfolio debt

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:41:40

9

09:41:56 10

12

11

13

09:42:18 15

16

18 19

17

09:42:34 20

22

21

2324

09:42:48 25

from Dell. Now, it bought this debt in 2007. It bought it on that date in a package or portfolio. And this was the official paper balance. We know why Dell can't collect it now as we look back because it had been paid off within two months of when it had been charged. No interest was recorded, just as a footnote. Midland assigns interest by its own -- it picks that number out of its own choice. But it's run out of its San Diego office.

Midland is a part of a company called Encore. It is a collection arm of Encore. You'll hear just a little bit about that. But it bought this debt at this point in time when the statute of limitations -- everybody has some idea of when this was -- you are not allowed to sue past the statute of limitation. This is when it knew it was going to expire.

As a footnote, the evidence will show that later when they couldn't collect, when Midland could not collect by parking it on a credit report, it tried to unsuccessfully sue in collection court and had to dismiss it.

And at this point, this is all the -- basically pretty much all the information that

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:43:06

8

09:43:26 10

13

11

12

14

09:43:34 15

16 17

18

19

09:43:48 20

21

22

24

09:44:02 25

09:44:24

09:44:36 10

09:44:52 15

09:45:06 20

09:45:24 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

Midland had. It was charged off on this date.

This, by the way, is a trade line. You'll see my client's credit reports. You have to. Amongst the other things the evidence will show, he is before you. You will see all of his personal credit reports. Not just his bank statements.

You'll see the good, the bad the worse. But this is what you call a trade line.

This is what one of the three credit reporting agencies -- there's three national ones -- had in July of '08. The text you'll look at will be better. This is a PDF file that's been copied and blown up. But it was recorded as Midland's account. It was recorded as a collection account, which is a major derogatory. Past due as of December of 2007.

The balance -- and this is basically -you'll see changes that go through the lifecycle
of Midland's reporting where it says, modify
account. The main modification is it just keeps
increasing its balance. But this shows up in
everyone of my client's credit reports. Even
ones where they note a technical note that my
client has made a dispute as a major derog or
derogatory, a negative in his credit report.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

It's not unremarkable. Anyone would expect a collection account, which is a statement that consumer has not paid their bill to Dell for \$1,600, is a derogatory.

Now, there will be evidence the defendant offers and we will talk about that predates the July of 2008 period. And the reason why I'm not is because -- well, I will at the end of this. But we don't believe it is useful to you, but I will tell you some of that evidence.

My client had -- before July of 2008,

November, November of '07 when Midland took over

the collection efforts, had already been through

this with Dell. And had shown the bank

statements. Had made the dispute letters. Had

made credit reporting items. And by then, by

this date, it was off his credit report at Dell.

Now July of '08, my client tries to buy a mortgage. He now owns a mortgage which he received -- he was able to buy a house in March or so of 2009. You'll see the two major dispute periods at issue in this case surrounded his efforts to buy a mortgage. So in July of 2008, he has a credit report. He sees this account.

And he begins the dispute process all over again

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:45:38

8

09:45:54 10

12 13

11

14

16

09:46:10 15

17

18

19

21

09:46:26 20

22

24

09:46:44 25

with this defendant now.

This letter he writes and he sends by certified mail. And he includes a copy of his bank statement as well as social security number. He sends it to Experian. But you have the same thing for Equifax and Transunion. He keeps the certified mail cards. He sends this to Midland. A copy of it, as well. He keeps the certified mailing card.

This is the affidavit to get evidence in, a year later from when this trial started.

There's been a lot of litigation between the parties about admissibility of evidence and authenticating. We have to dot our Is and cross our Ts.

This is from the bank. This is the statement he produced again and again and again. And the relevant part of it is right down here. Right here. Dell Financial. You'll see it. In November, 2004, my client, with a telephone check, because he didn't foresee any of this happening, paid it off. He provided that statement.

Now, there is no evidence at all that the defendant ever contacted Redstone; that it ever

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:47:00

8

09:47:16 10

12

13

11

1 4

09:47:32 15

16 17

18

19

09:48:06 20

21

22 23

24

09:48:18 25

09:48:32

09:48:50 10

09:49:06 15

09:49:26 20

09:49:36 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

asked my client for more information; that it ever said, give us an affidavit or anything. And the reason is -- and I'll talk in a moment about it is because the procedures the defendant follows -- the only proof documents that a consumer could give to Midland to convince an account had been paid before Midland got it is a settlement letter from a creditor with a copy of a cancelled check corresponding to that settlement letter. That is unless my client could have had Dell or Midland agree to -- unless my client had agreed to pay off a settlement with Dell or Midland. And provide a letter for that. There was no possibility that Midland would have removed it, by its own written procedures.

He does the same thing in March, 2009.

And he still has all his hair. Didn't pull it out with the frustration. But he's now been through this for at least four or so years.

You'll see documents.

These are plaintiff's interrogatories. We serve them. It's one of the pleadings that lawyers can do. You ask questions. The defendant -- they serve them to us. The plaintiff has to answer them. And in this

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

instance, a couple of them are useful because they give you the defendant's own view of this.

We asked Interrogatory 2: Please list the communications. State the date of communications, the author and the contents.

You'll see from the records we contend on the list that follows have all the communications our client had with Midland. But do you really need more? These are the communications our client had with Midland.

We also asked when my client made disputes to Midland. These are what the defendant acknowledges dates of disputes that it received from our client, the dispute that's at issue in this case. There's a few missing. The Equifax dispute is not here. And the lawsuit was filed before this. But after the lawsuit was filed, Midland again verified this and said, yes. He owes the debt.

You'll hear and you've already heard something about a ACDV. An ACDV. And if I could talk to you briefly about what this is. You'll here evidence in this regard.

The credit reporting agencies in this world of automation -- whether you like it or

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:49:54

8

11

12

09:50:08 10

13

14

09:50:24 15

16 17

19

18

09:50:44 20

22

21

23 24

09:50:58 25

don't, when consumers make disputes to the credit reporting agencies, whether they take it on line, telephone, written letter, it is then reduced into this system called E-Oscar.

The major credit bureaus own this company that administers this dispute system. And electronically, the consumer's dispute is then transmitted to the furnisher. The furnisher is the lingo in this statute for the creditor that provided the trade line, the account information.

So the ACDV is forwarded, and it conveys the substance of the dispute to the furnisher, the creditor. And the furnisher and creditor is to then investigate. The Court will later instruct you as to what an investigation and the law requires.

This is what it looks like from one perspective. But you'll see this coming from different credit reporting agencies. It can look a little bit different.

Let's talk about this one first. You can see it. You have it in your exhibit book. The basic layout, this one is from Transunion. Has the date. This was the August, 2008. And it has the information the credit reporting agency

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:51:16

8 9

09:51:34 10

12 13

11

14

09:51:48 15

16

18

17

19

21

22

24

09:52:14 25

currently has on file, identifying information.

And then this is the information that the creditor says we have.

In this instance, Midland didn't have our client's social or date of birth. But it says whether the information is different or the same. This is the dispute: Claims paid original creditor before collection status or paid before charge-off.

Experian is saying: Midland, you need to verify the account status, pay rating, current balance, amount past due, and pay history.

Then you have Midland puts their response:

Verified as reported. Sometimes they will say,

verified as reported. Sometimes they will say,

modify as shown. Typically the modify as shown

is simply changing the dollar amount when you

look at these.

And this gets sent back. This is the status. Still reporting as a collection account. There is a technical note which is not -- shouldn't be any surprise, given the consumer wrote the letters, that Midland is saying the account information is disputed by the consumer. Here they say also: Modify account as shown.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter
1729 Fifth Avenue, North
Birmingham, AL 35203
256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:52:26

8

09:52:42 10

12

11

13 14

09:53:02 15

16 17

18 19

09:53:12 20

22

24

09:53:28 25

And the only change is that they're changing the dollar amounts.

This is what it looks like from, in this instance, Equifax. Same concepts. In this instance, this top row is what was before the dispute was sent to Midland. And the bottom row is what Midland responded with.

So in this instance, the amount current balance went up by a dollar or by six dollars because it was assigning its six percent interest. And again, it noted account information disputed by the consumer. But it continued to note that its past due and assigned to internal or external collection which is a major derogatory.

You're going to hear -- let me suggest this. This is -- Alabama has a history of some really good trial lawyers. And I'm not going to live up to that standard. This case isn't going to live up to one of the -- it's not going to make the headlines across the country as the most glamorous and exciting case.

My client has had to come into federal court to force his credit report to be fixed.

Your job, though, in this case might be even less

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:53:44

09:54:00 10

13

12

14 09:54:16 15

16

18

17

19 09:54:28 20

21

22 23

24

09:54:44 25

glamorous. Because your job is going to consist of hearing two live witnesses. The rest -- you're going to hear three videotape witnesses. And you're going to hear a read witness of the defendant who is not here right now. And let me tell you why.

The federal rules of civil procedure, they are the rules we all have to live by. And there is a limitation on your subpoena power. That is, I cannot bring or Ms. Cauley or Mr. Sykstus could not subpoena someone from San Diego to come out here to testify from Midland because they're more than a hundred miles from the courthouse.

Nor can we subpoen Ms. Banks from
Equifax, Ms. Hughes from Dallas, Texas for
Experian, or Mr. Newnom from Fullerton,
California for Transunion. We can't subpoena
them.

So we are at the -- more importantly, you are at the -- up to the task of having to hear some of the testimony -- major parts of it in this case -- from videotaped depositions that the parties through their lawyers already took as well as to hear the defendant's deposition testimony.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:54:58

S

11

09:55:12 10

13

12

14 09:55:30 15

16

17 18

19

09:55:44 20

21

22

24 09:56:00 25 Ms. Ross will testify under rule called Rule 30(b)(6). When you want to depose a corporation so that you don't ransack their whole company and depose the president all the way down to the clerical worker, the way it works is you serve a notice under Rule 30(b)(6). You list a bunch of topics that you want to ask about. And the defendant chooses who they're going to put up.

Ms. Ross was the 30(b)(6) witness. And she will speak not on her behalf but on behalf of Midland. And you'll hear that with -- my esteemed colleagues will read you that transcript as a back and forth.

I apologize in advance because it's not as lively as it might be with live witnesses in the same fashion. But your job becomes more important -- even more important under those circumstances.

Now, there is another live witness. And I also have to apologize. This individual's name is Gabriel Edrozo. I've never met him before this morning. He is from Midland. He comes in from their San Diego office. And I don't know much about him. I may ask questions because he

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:56:14

8

09:56:24 10

12 13

11

14

09:56:36 15

17 18

16

19

09:56:46 20

22

21

23 24

09:57:06 25

is filling in, I guess, as the witness of
Ms. Ross who isn't here. I understand she had a
baby, so she has a fantastic reason not to be
here. But she's not here.

So this witness -- I may stumble through examination of that witness. I apologize in advance.

You're going to see Ms. Banks for Equifax in her video. And the parties have agreed to just play the depositions without inserting a lot of objections so that you can have it -- one of the many things that she'll say -- much of what she's simply saying is what I've just explained.

We have ACDVs. We send them on. It is an important thing that we want to continue to focus on in our facts. Is it true that Equifax relies on its data furnishers to provide accurate information regarding on account? Yes, we do.

And is it true in this instance Equifax relied on Midland to provide accurate information regarding Mr. Brim's account? Yes. And did Equifax also rely on Midland to investigate -- the answer would be yes if somebody besides myself was working a PDF file.

Add this to the agreed facts that Midland

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:57:20

(

09:57:32 10

12 13

11

14

09:57:50 15

17

16

18 19

09:58:06 20

21

22 23

24

09:58:20 25

09:58:34

09:58:52 10

09:59:06 15

09:59:20 20

09:59:36 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

agrees it's responsible for the accuracy of the information it reports to the bureaus. At the end of my -- we're halfway there.

At the end of this opening, I will outline a couple of the facts that the defendant may try to offer. One of them is going to cast blame on anyone other than Midland. Dell, for example.

And in this instance, Equifax testified Midland is responsible for Midland's reporting. Not Dell. Not anyone else. And the stipulation, Midland itself agrees Midland is responsible for Midland's credit reporting. Not Dell or anyone else.

This you'll see this better. Don't strain yourself. My 45-year-old eyes moved up to 2.5s. You'll have a better, cleaner copy. This is called a UDF or an AUDF. Everything has an automated now.

An AUDF is the way that outside the normal investigation process a creditor says, change my trade line or the trade line for our consumer.

So at the end -- and you'll look at this -- you can barely make it out. It will say a date of September of 2010 that Midland finally deleted this account.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com I like what I do. And I do nothing but come to federal court on Fair Credit Reporting Act. But you should not have to come to a federal courtroom to correct your credit report or wait nine months of a lawsuit to do that.

This is what the Experian deposition -Ms. Hughes in Texas will testify similarly to
Ms. Banks of Equifax and Mr. Newnom for
Transunion. And they're often witnesses in
litigation themselves.

This is just a -- it's Page 1 through 3.

That's the collection history from Midland. This is their document. It's three pages. But it goes up in chronology. So the next page is the earliest of these events. You'll see it was assigned or bought, rather, for pennies by Midland in October of '07.

They sent letters, offering settlement offers. The information -- to find out information about our client's ability to pay.

And then moved it to try to recover, checking his new addresses. They then -- this period of time they sent it to a collection firm, an outside law firm, to sue in collection court in Huntsville where my client resides. Which ultimately was

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

09:59:54

9

11

12

13

10:00:10 10

14 10:00:28 15

16

18

19

17

10:00:42 20

21

22

24

10:01:02 25

dismissed.

Received certified letter from Mr. Brim with a copy of the dispute. These are their own notes. Received certified letter or notice, included copy of bank statement, showing the payment to Dell. Not proof.

I'll show you why because we have their procedures. It's disputed through the credit bureaus. It is disputed through the credit bureaus. They continue to make additional credit reporting.

Then they received additional dispute calls from Mr. Brim. And they said -- he said advised sent proof certified and will call back tomorrow. Again, bank statement showing the payment not proof. No settlement or paid in full letter included. Which means the only proof that Midland would accept was one that operated or arose out of a reality that did not exist. The reality which the consumer was a debtor. I won't say a dead beat, but someone who owed a collection account and then settled it. A reality that didn't exist. How can my client possibly get a settlement letter from Midland or Dell when he had paid the account?

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:01:18

9

10:01:36 10

13

14

12

10:01:52 15

16 17

18 19

10:02:10 20

21

22

24

10:02:26 25

Ostensibly, he could have negotiated and double paid it. And that's what this procedure would have insisted upon.

E-Oscar credit reporting. Lawsuit

filed -- now, it was actually filed before this.

But this is apparently when it entered their

system. And note that it was that same day that
they were still verifying the information, saying
to Transunion, our client owes this debt.

And no one is claiming -- there's no factual dispute in this case that our client did anything other than pay it November of '04 on time. It was financed. It was a credit line. He could have kept paying it on time. But he paid it in full at that point.

You'll hear something about Encore

Capital. We've talked about the plaintiff's efforts. This is their San Diego office. I'm sure it's nice. Encore Capital Management. This is from its logo. Subsidiary, Midland Credit

Management. That is the company that is sued in this case. This is the organizational structure. Encore owns the Midland entity, and Midland does the collection work.

This is from the Encore and Midland web

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:02:48

8

10:03:02 10

12 13

11

14

10:03:18 15

18

17

19

21

22

23 24

10:03:56 25

site. We purchase defaulted consumer loans from major banks, credit unions and utilities. We're headquartered in San Diego. We have offices in these other areas. We have one of the industry's largest distressed consumer accounts with approximately 20 million accounts. You've already heard 8,000 consumers dispute Midland accounts a week.

This is from an annual report you'll have as Exhibit 82. On overview of our business. We are leaders in consumer debt buying and recovery. We purchase portfolios of defaulted consumer receivables at deep discounts of face value and use a variety of operational channels to maximize our collections from these portfolios.

Let's talk about that. The evidence in this case will show that the defendant tried three operational channels. It tried what's called dun the consumer. Send him letters and call. You'll see letters, which the consumer pushed back, exercising a right that's not at issue here under a different federal law, that says, do not contact me anymore. Cease and desist.

The second operational channel was trying

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:04:16

8

10:04:28 10

12

13

11

1 4

10:04:48 15

17 18

16

19

10:05:00 20

21 22

23

24

10:05:10 25

to sue on a debt that, you know, certainly had it gone through would have found in our client's favor but had to be aborted at that point because it was a -- past the statute of limitation. So that operational channel fell.

You have one operational channel left.

The evidence will be the operational channel this defendant used was parking on a credit report.

Forcing someone who wants to buy a house, like my client, to go get a settlement letter from Dell or Midland to get it off his credit report.

This is from Midland or Encore's annual report. Cost efficiency is central to our collection and purchasing strategies. There have a lost of cost advantages. There isn't, by the way, any evidence that there was any collection calls from India in this case. So that's not relevant to the case. But there are other cost efficiencies that are outlined.

We acquire and service receivables from obligors that have failed to pay and that the seller has deemed uncollectible and written off.

The originating institutions generally make numerous attempts to recover on these nonperforming debts, which means it's true you'll

 ${\it CHERYL~K.~POWELL},~{\it CCR},~{\it RPR},~{\it FCRR}$

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:05:26

9

10:05:38 10

12

11

13

14

10:05:54 15

17 18

16

19

10:06:08 20

21

22

24

10:06:26 25

hear evidence by the time that my client got to the point where Midland could inflict its own frustration or embarrassment or humiliation on my client, he had already endured this. Cleaned it up with Dell.

In order to operate profitably over the long term, we must continually purchase and collect on a sufficient volume of receivables to generate revenue that exceed costs.

These receivables are difficult to collect, and we may not be successful in collecting amounts sufficient to cover the costs associated with these receivables to fund our operation. If we're not able to collect on these receivables or collect sufficient amounts to cover our costs, this may materially and adversely affect our results of operation.

There are a number of other lawsuits, claims, counterclaims pending or threatened against us. In general, these lawsuits, claims, or counterclaims have arisen in the ordinary course of business and involve claims for damages arising from a variety of alleged misconduct or improper reporting of credit information by us or our employees or agents.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:06:42

10:06:52 10

12 13

11

14 10:07:02 15

16

17 18

19

10:07:12 20

22

24

10:07:26 25

This is a chart that is in their annual report. The cost per dollar collected. The relevant part of this, this is the cost per dollar collected inhouse. That is, for every dollar that Midland collects, it only pays 4.2 cents.

In this instance, cost efficiency, the evidence will show, overwhelmed any other concern or interest about the obligations to comply with the law or our client's rights. This -- both sides will offer this. Theirs is, I saw in the manual, colored. Ours is not. This is the title of one of their dispute and warning code manuals.

Again, there's this law, the Fair

Declaration Practices Act. It's not at issue in

the case. It does control something called a

45-day validation period. You basically, in a

debt collection, under the Fair Dec Practices

Act -- the FDCPA has strict liability if you -
if a creditor or debt collector, rather, doesn't

give the consumer a breather of at least 45 days.

If the consumer makes a dispute in that period, Midland acknowledges the burden of obtaining proof regarding the dispute is on Midland. MCM. Do not even suggest that the

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:07:46

S

10:08:00 10

12

11

13 14

10:08:22 15

16 17

18

19 10:08:40 20

21

22

24

10:08:56 25

consumer needs to provide documentation for a dispute. After 45 days -- and of course, my client made disputes in 45 days, after 45 days, or the like. He made disputes. Many disputes.

But after 45 days, this is what Midland's claiming or instructing its employees. The burden of proof is on the consumer to validate the dispute claim. Documentation must be provided to move forward with the dispute.

Now, the Court will, in closing, allow us to argue the law as to whether it's ever a consumer's obligation to prove a negative. But the facts, I think, will show that our client gave his best effort throughout this process.

On this very bottom part, it's really hard to see except in your book. It's easy to read.

But these are the types of disputes that Midland might have received.

And importantly, under account paid, it says -- it instructs the employee the only two types of documents are documents such as a letter, a settlement letter with a cancelled check or some other written confirmation from the creditor that it's been paid.

So that if my client had a letter from

 ${\it CHERYL~K.~POWELL},~{\it CCR},~{\it RPR},~{\it FCRR}$

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:09:12

9

10:09:26 10

12

11

13

14 10:09:44 15

16

17 18

19

10:09:58 20

21

22

24

10:10:52 25

Dell, then that would constitute -- other than that, there is no proof that would have been allowed by Midland.

My client had absolutely -- it's not as if there was some effort or there's any evidence that he failed to go down far enough down the trail. You, looking back at this with 20/20 hindsight, can see -- the evidence will show there was a dead end. There was no possibility of getting this off.

You have these procedure manuals. They say much the same thing. They outline 45-day verbal disputes. And what they tell the employee is the consumer makes a verbal dispute, tell them give it to us in writing. Written disputes, if it's within 45 days and they provide a front and back of cancelled check and settlement offer -- it's not one or the other. So the settlement letter plus the cancelled check. Then there is possible supporting document.

We cannot argue the law. You are allowed to use plain English language in your decisions. Investigation, which the judge will instruct you what that means as a matter of the law under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, but investigate under

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:11:04

8

10:11:20 10

12

11

14 10:11:36 15

16

18

19

17

10:11:54 20

21

23

24 10:12:14 25 10:12:30

10:12:46 10

10:13:04 15

10:13:18 20

10:13:26 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

American Heritage Dictionary is defined as a detailed inquiry or systematic examination.

Websters, a searching inquiry.

At the end of the case, you're going to hear evidence that you will have to determine whether you think that there was such an investigation.

You'll hear a deposition read to you by my esteemed co-counsel of Ms. Ross, who was the person most knowledgeable for Midland. Some relevant portions: What if a bank statement was received, showing a payment and they can't determine if that's valid? Is that also sent to do more subsequent investigation? That document would be considered invalid. So they would have made the determination that the bank statement was invalid as a proof document. So a dispute containing a bank statement showing a payment is automatically deemed invalid? Yes. Generally. I would say that is true unless there is something else with it or maybe something else on the account that would add to determination. Something else is the settlement letter from Dell. Essentially, the bank statement would be the acceptance of a collection settlement offer.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com Yes.

10:13:36

10:13:48 10

10:14:04 15

10:14:18 20

10:14:36 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

You would agree that Midland is responsible for reporting accurate information to the credit reporting agencies regarding specific accounts? Midland is responsible for its own.

And the facts in this case will show Midland knew, yes, it is so responsible, and is responsible for investigating the accuracy of that information. Yes.

Are you aware that Midland is responsible for investigating? Yes. And it has to conduct the investigation within 30 days? Yes. And these disputes are received via the ACDV? Yes. You told me 99 percent -- and actually, in her deposition at one point, the Midland representative says 95 percent. Let's say 95, even though this she said the 99. So 95 to 99 percent of ACDVs are handled electronically through the batch; is that right? Yes.

Let's talk about what that batch is. In terms of an effective safety valve of systemic inquiry, using the American Heritage definition. Midland to receive these 8,000 weekly disputes has set up a computer program that receives them and its computer automatically responds. For 95

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com or, arguably, 99 percent of disputes of these 8,000 that -- of consumers that have to make Midland disputes, Midland doesn't have a live person look at them.

Computers are great. We have them here.

We have them here. But you will hear evidence in this case that Midland's version of that automated offers zero safety valve. Not even after nine months of a lawsuit.

So the fact that Mr. Brim sent in a bank statement, showing the payment to Dell, Midland did not consider as proof of payment? Correct. Didn't consider it proof of at least a partial payment? Correct.

I remind you they were showing you the balance with all the supposed interest. And Midland never contacted Dell? That's correct.

By the way, you'll hear evidence from the defendant as to Dell. Dell was saying it was still owed. No effort made to contact Dell.

Until August of 2010, by the way, when finally Dell was contacted.

The stipulation you've just heard now it came off. And then August of '08, there's an entry. Plaintiff's exhibit. And by the way,

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:14:56

10:15:14 10

12

13

11

14

10:15:28 15

16

17 18

19

10:15:42 20

21

22

24

10:15:58 25

nappens in these -- and I apologize it just happens in litigation. You'll see references to some exhibits in the depositions that don't correspond with either party's exhibit numbers. The exhibit numbers that matter are the ones that you have in your book. Those are the only numbers that matter.

And the fact that there are asterisks in the ACDV -- remember the Transunion we showed. There's asterisks under employee ID, that's because it was handled electronically by the batch interface system? Correct. No actual documents were reviewed. It's not even that a person was not involved. No documents were even considered.

Transunion was not contacted. The bank was not contacted to determine whether or not it was a forged bank statement. It's not.

Next. This means I'm getting near the end.

There will be some evidence offered by the defendant that we will characterize as distractions. Dell. And by the way, this wasn't May of 2010. It was August, 2010. But Dell, will there be any evidence that Dell should be

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:16:10

8

10:16:26 10

12

13

11

14

10:16:40 15

16

18

17

19

21

22

24

10:17:12 25

held accountable for Midland's credit reporting?

Never contacted. The evidence is I've already

shown you Midland knows its credit reporting, its

trade line is its responsibility. Dell's came

off before my client in August of 2008 tried to

apply for mortgages again. And obviously, it was

sold for pennies.

Why did Dell sell it? It is a garage sale of debt that Midland wants to hold to some priceless antique value. Is Mr. Brim responsible? Well, his credit report is not perfect. It's not bad. It's actually really good.

But in July of 2008, he had a couple other blemishes. Nothing as bad as this one. But he had a late payment on a credit card to G.E. Money Bank. At one point with one of the reports, he actually had two reports for his brother who is similarly named. It came off quickly. And he also had a student loan that was late pay. But it wasn't -- it's paid. It was a 500-dollar deal.

And there won't be any evidence that should properly distract you. Where he worked. In his deposition, you can look at one of the

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:17:26

8

10:17:42 10

12

11

13

10:17:54 15

16 17

18

19

21

22

23

24

10:18:28 25

Equifax credit reports and back in 2003, 2004, Mr. Brim was a clerk at an adult video store or a store that sold adult videos. And it shows in his Equifax report -- it's, like, previous employers, Target, Yellow Book, and adult video.

And you may hear the defendant argue because before this occurred, we actually thought whether they're allowed to make a point of that. But you may hear the defendant say he worked in an adult video store. He might have been denied his mortgages because of that. There's no evidence of that. But there is evidence in one of his Equifax reports that in 2003, 2004, he was a clerk at an adult video store.

Mr. Brim, he also -- is he responsible?

He worked for six-and-a-half years to get this off. Got it off from Dell, and then Midland started all over again. And Midland, importantly, there is no evidence that Midland ever made any suggestion to him of an alternative way to get it resolved. All right?

Some identity theft instances, a creditor might say, if you send us an affidavit and a police report, that kind of thing, there's nothing comparable in this case. There is no

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:18:44

8

10:18:58 10

12

11

13

10:19:12 15

16 17

18

19:19:26 20

21

22 23

24

10:19:40 25

evidence our client was ever offered any avenue to succeed.

Now, this is a case about credit reporting. It is not a case about a child losing sight or a worker losing an arm in an accident. That's not the case. And it would be dishonest, not just disingenuous, of any plaintiff's lawyer to tell you that it is the end of the world to have your credit destroyed. It isn't the end of the world.

But the law that you'll hear at the end of the case asks you, requires you to determine a proper way to compensate. And you're going to have to listen to the evidence in the context of it is about credit reporting for ways that our client was impacted. And the law will be provided to you. The range of types of damages a consumer can recover will be part of your instructions. And they're broad. But here's what we think the evidence will highlight.

First, his credit damage. Our client obtained a loan in March, 2009.

We do not have a Countrywide Mortgage person to come in and testify about why his interest rate was higher , but the evidence will

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:20:00

8

11

12

10:20:16 10

13 14

10:20:32 15

16

18

19

17

10:20:46 20

21

22 23

24

10:21:00 25

10:21:14

10:21:30 10

10:21:44 15

10:21:58 20

10:22:10 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

show that we do have here in Florence, Alabama -we do have evidence that there was this long
delay. There were numerous mortgage attempts,
inquiries.

My client will testify he applied for these mortgages. In fact, the two dispute periods of Midland in July of '08 and March of '09 were focused on trying to obtain a house.

And he was delayed in having done that. There's no other reasonable explanation for -- that would explain how Midland would not be a factor, an important factor in that delay.

There is evidence that he was denied an American Express credit card. You actually have an affidavit from American Express, authenticating a credit denial letter. That credit denial letter says it is denied. His American Express credit application in '09 was denied because of a collection account in his credit file. There was only one collection account in his credit file at that time. This one. At any time in the Transunion file ever. This is the only collection account.

And you'll see a period of time where, as the evidence shows, that our client withdrew from

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com the credit system. He had spent now at that point in 2008 -- he had already spent three years, trying to get it resolved without success, not provided any alternative. He's now 33. But he had done what he could.

And there is a period where you show not just the Capital One credit card application denial, the inquiry that's not there -- I mean, that's there. But also his entire withdrawal from the credit system in late '08.

Other economic impact, we will put on evidence his time. He will testify that he makes \$17 an hour. I mean, he's not Donald Trump. But that's his money. That's his time. That's his wage that he had to spend, trying to do what the law, we think at the end you'll be instructed the law says the defendant was supposed to do.

And his expenses. And they're modest expenses. Federal Expressing and having to Midland copies of these documents, certified mailings, and the like.

The broader harms: Frustration, anger, helplessness, embarrassment, and the impact of having this debt collector abuse you, as it did, through its credit reporting operational channel

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:22:26

8

11

12

10:22:42 10

13

14

10:22:58 15

16

17 18

19

10:23:12 20

23

22

24

10:23:32 25

for this period of time.

10:23:44

10:24:02 10

10:24:18 15

10:24:38 20

10:24:52 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

The best part about damages at the end in argument is I don't have any say. You're going to have to have to decide. You're going to have to listen to the evidence and determine what is a proper amount that had my client maybe pre-negotiated this -- you can destroy my credit for the next couple of years, drag me into federal court, expose my data, and do what you've done with the mortgages -- what is a dollar amount that at the beginning, back in '08 Midland and my client could have worked out.

This is what it looked like when the lawsuit was filed. Transunion collection account. A remark. The best that he could earn is a remark that says it's disputed. Not a surprise. These are Transunion inquiries during this period of time. That is every inquiry. And you'll have these reports to look at. Every inquiry is an attempt by my client to obtain credit. And he will testify he didn't get any of this. Not until he got to Countrywide Mortgage in the spring of '09 at his higher rate.

There's also something called promotional inquiries. These are the things that give you

 ${\it CHERYL~K.~POWELL},~{\it CCR},~{\it RPR},~{\it FCRR}$

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com junk mail. But the account review inquiries, these are existing creditors he already has.

Insurance company, for example, or this is his annual free credit report.

These are his existing creditors that Midland told, through the credit report, he doesn't pay his bills. This is the American Express denial letter May, 2009. The only collection for serious delinquency in May of '09 in his report, the only one is this one.

The law regarding punitive damages, in voir dire some questions were asked. You have to determine at the end of this the proper standard. The Court will instruct you the proper standard. You have to determine whether or not the facts in this case support it.

But one of the pieces of evidence you will look at is the net worth. The idea that how do you move a giant? Small pushes? Its annual report as of Valentine's Day, last week or so, this is the net worth of this debt collector.

That's the end. You have the hardest job.

At the end of this case, you have to take all the facts. And my colleague is an exceptional lawyer. The defendant isn't rolling over. But

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:25:04

8

10:25:26 10

12 13

11

14

10:25:42 15

16 17

18

19

10:26:00 20

21

23

24

10:26:26 25

you will hear both sides. They're different.

You've heard the stipulated facts, the evidence.

In the end, the Court will instruct you as to the law. Thank you.

This has been a long opening. Thank you for your patience. We're going to do what we can to move the trial. In the end, this is going to be in your hands. You all matter. Thank you.

THE COURT: Are you ready? Are y'all okay over there? Okay.

MR. TOMPKINS: Good morning again.

You did meet me yesterday. To remind you, my

name is Jason Tompkins. Along with my colleague,

Eric Langley, we represent Midland Credit

Management. With us are Gabe Edrozo and Chris

Yang. Both flew in from San Diego.

We, too, are disappointed Angelique Ross couldn't be at this trial. She did have a baby about two weeks ago. She's out on maternity leave for the next few months.

My opening statement is going to be very different than Mr. Bennett's for several reasons. The first is he is a full-time credit reporting lawyer, and he says all he does is go to federal court. This is my very first jury trial and my

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:26:40

g

10:26:54 10

13

14

17

12

10:27:22 15

16

18 19

10:27:36 20

22

21

23

24

10:27:48 25

first opening statement ever.

But beyond that, we believe the evidence in the case will lead to the exact opposite conclusion that Mr. Bennett reached. And that is, that Midland performed a reasonable investigation of Mr. Brim's disputes. And that even if the investigation was unreasonable, Midland's actions did not cause Mr. Brim any injury whatsoever.

Yesterday in the voir dire, Eric talked to you about factoring and told you that that's what Midland does. And I know that a couple of you were engineers and mathematicians, and factoring may have a slightly different meaning.

In the financial context, it's when one company buys the receivables of another company, usually at a discount, in order to get the selling company immediate cash on hand. And many times, these are charged-off accounts that the seller has tried to collect and been unable to for sometime. And they would rather have some discounted amount of money than to continue to try to collect those accounts.

Midland purchases a lot of accounts since this is its primary business. In fact, you will

 ${\it CHERYL~K.~POWELL},~{\it CCR},~{\it RPR},~{\it FCRR}$

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:28:00

8

10:28:14 10

12 13

11

14

10:28:28 15

17

18

16

19

10:28:42 20

21 22

23

24 10:28:54 25 likely hear testimony that Mr. Brim's account was part of at least 64,000 accounts purchased from Dell in that single transaction.

And you heard about the percentage that some accounts are purchased for. I think the one that was displayed was 4.2 percent. But in absolute dollar terms, it's still a multi-million-dollar deal.

These are not worthless accounts to Midland. They're very important to Midland. They don't make money if the accounts are worthless.

When Midland purchases accounts from sellers like Dell, those sellers make certain representations about the accounts; that they're valid, unpaid accounts. They give us data about the account balances, about the debtor's personal information, name, address, all sorts of information about that account. And Midland imports that data into its system.

In this case, Dell sold its account in 2007. You heard that Mr. Brim paid it in 2004. And we know now that that's true. But in 2007, when it was sold to Midland, no one knew that was the case. It was represented as a valid,

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:29:10

9

11 12

10:29:24 10

13

14

16

10:29:34 15

17

18 19

10:29:54 20

21 22

23

24 10:30:14 25 collectible account. And Midland imported that data into its system.

It wasn't until August, 2010, that Dell received the information it needed to track Mr. Brim's payment. And at that time, it informed Midland of that fact. And Midland deleted the account. And is no longer trying to collect the money.

Mr. Bennett has talked about a lot of issues this morning. But in the end, the case boils down to one question. Was Midland's investigation of Mr. Brim's account reasonable? And if not, did Midland's actions lead to any injury for Mr. Brim?

You're going to hear testimony about Midland's system. And it's very important that you understand the system.

You saw the ACDV forms that Midland receives from the consumer reporting agencies.

Transunion, Experian, Equifax. That is all Midland receives. And that form was created by the consumer reporting agencies. And it's transmitted electronically through a software system that the consumer reporting agencies developed.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:30:28

8

10:30:40 10

12

11

13

14

10:30:58 15

16 17

18

19

10:31:14 20

21

22 23

24

10:31:30 25

Midland purchased another software system from the consumer reporting agencies, the batch interface system. And it's adapted it over time to its system, but that system was developed to receive the ACDVs that the CRAs are going to send to Midland.

These ACDVs include all sorts of disputes. You can imagine. Wrong address, wrong balance, not mine, inaccurate social security number; it's my brother, everything. And when the consumer reporting agencies send the ACDVs, they have certain codes on them. And there are no documents that come with the ACDVs. You'll hear the consumer reporting agencies tell you that. It's just that single form of mostly coded information.

When Midland's batch interface system receives that form, it compares the information to information that's on the system. And you will hear testimony that there are -- in Midland's collection system, there are codes that can be put on the account. And the system reads all these codes back and forth and determines whether the dispute can be resolved through the automated system by comparing information, maybe

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:31:40

δ

10:31:56 10

12 13

11

14

10:32:12 15

16

17

18

19

10:32:22 20

21

22 23

24

10:32:40 25

updating some information, or whether it needs to be transferred out for a manual review by Midland employee.

Mr. Brim's disputes came in to the ACDV, into the batch interface system, and they were processed in an automated fashion. There was nothing on his account with Midland that triggered for a manual review. And there was nothing in the ACDV that triggered it for manual review.

Given that there's an interaction between the two systems in Midland, the collection system and the batch interface system, the information contained in the collection system is very important.

And Mr. Bennett indicated that -- he told you about the 45-day disputes. And he indicated that Mr. Brim submitted a dispute within that 45 days. But that's not the case. In fact, when Midland purchased this account, they sent Mr. Brim a letter, saying that they were now the creditor, and he didn't dispute it then. It was in October of 2007. They sent him another letter in December of 2007. No dispute. Another letter in January, 2008. No dispute.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:32:58

8

10:33:12 10

12 13

11

14

10:33:26 15

17

16

19

18

10:33:46 20

21

22

24

10:34:04 25

Midland referred it out to its collection firm, as you've heard Mr. Bennett talk about, and the law firm sent him a letter in April of 2008. No dispute.

In fact, it wasn't until July of 2008, nearly nine months after Midland's first letter, that it received a dispute. And it received a dispute shortly from Mr. Brim and it shortly thereafter received a dispute through the consumer reporting agencies.

A dispute from the consumer reporting agencies was just that ACDV. No attached documents.

The dispute from Mr. Brim included his bank statement. Midland -- a person at Midland looked at Mr. Brim's direct dispute. Determined that that bank statement was not adequate proof of the payment. That was coded in the system.

So when the automated batch interface system processed ACDV disputes, there was nothing indicating that sufficient proof had been submitted.

Now, all these facts were reflected in Midland's system. And you will hear testimony that had any of these facts differed, there would

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:34:18

S

10:34:42 10

12 13

11

14 10:34:50 15

16

17 18

19 10:35:12 20

21

22

24

10:35:24 25

have been different codes on the account and the automated system may have processed it differently.

In addition to showing that Midland's investigation was unreasonable, Mr. Brim has to show that he suffered an injury caused by Midland's actions. We've heard about credit injuries. We've heard about mortgages.

You won't hear from a single mortgage company, saying that they denied Mr. Brim credit much less that they denied him credit based on anything Midland did. I don't know that you will even see any evidence that he was actually denied any credit by a mortgage company.

What you will see is the letter from

American Express that Mr. Bennett showed you,

denying Mr. Brim a credit card. I'm sorry I

don't have it blown up like Mr. Bennett had. But

it states we're unable to open an account for you

at this time for the following reasons. Your

consumer credit bureau score from Transunion is

too low. And it lists several factors that could

have contributed to the Transunion credit score.

You will hear testimony from Transunion by video that an account marked as disputed does not

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:35:38

9

10:35:52 10

13

11

12

14

10:36:10 15

17

16

19

18

10:36:36 20

21 22

23

24

10:36:58 25

factor into the credit score. You'll hear testimony that Mr. Brim's account was marked as disputed from the time of his very first contact with Midland until it was deleted in September of 2010.

You'll hear Mr. Brim testify about stress and anxiety. But you won't hear any corroboration for that testimony. And if you look at the timing of Mr. Brim's disputes, you'll see that they happened every six months or so.

The fact that Midland's investigation did not yield the results that Mr. Brim believes they should have is not enough to hold them liable in this case. Mr. Brim has to show that some injury was caused by Midland's actions. Has to show that the results would have been different had Midland done something else.

So what did Mr. Bennett indicate Midland should have done? Midland should have contacted Dell, he said. But the result would have been the same. You'll hear testimony from Dell, Rachel Garlock from Dell Financial says at the time Dell Financial Services sold Mr. Brim's account to Midland, they believed it was due and payable. They believed it was due and payable

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:37:22

8

10:37:44 10

13

11

12

14 10:38:06 15

16

17 18

19 10:38:20 20

21

22

23

24

10:38:46 25

until August of 2010.

Furthermore, she states had Midland contacted Dell Financial in July of 2008 or March of 2009, times that Mr. Brim disputes, they would have told Midland that this account still had a balance and they had received no proof of payment for the account.

Mr. Bennett also says Midland should have contacted Redstone Credit Union. The result would have been the same. A representative of Redstone will be here. And we expect the evidence to be that had anyone other than Mr. Brim or a cosigner on his account contacted Redstone and asked about a particular transaction, they would have refused to speak to them.

We also expect Redstone to tell you that had Mr. Brim at any time told them that the bank statement was not being accepted by Dell or by Midland as proof of his statement, that they would have provided him with something else. A transactional detail report. And it is the very document that Mr. Brim obtained in August of 2010 that allowed Dell to track this payment and resulted in Midland deleting the account. This

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:39:14

6

10:39:34 10

12

13

11

14

10:39:52 15

16

17

18

19

10:40:10 20

21 22

23

24

10:40:30 25

is what a transaction detail report looks like. This is the very one that allowed Dell to track this payment.

Mr. Bennett also said that Midland could have followed up with Mr. Brim. But they couldn't. When Mr. Brim wrote Midland about this account, he asked in every letter that Midland not contact him about -- by phone or in writing.

Mr. Bennett indicated there's another statute that's not an issue called the Fair Debt Collections Act. And you'll hear testimony Midland did not contact Mr. Brim because his demand triggered a duty for them not to. They could have been in jeopardy of violating some other law.

You'll hear evidence, as well, that

Mr. Brim has tried to solve this for several

years. He contacted Dell many times. He

submitted his bank statement to Dell many times.

He submitted his bank statement to Midland a

couple of times. He submitted his bank

statements to the consumer reporting agencies.

He never submitted anything else. You'll hear

evidence Dell asked him to submit something else.

They asked him to submit a transaction detail

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:40:44

10:41:12 10

11 12

13

10:41:32 15

16 17

> 18 19

10:41:44 20

21

22

23

10:42:00 25

report years ago.

He filed a complaint with the better business bureau through Dell. Through the better business bureau, he was told we need a transactional detail report. He never submitted anything other than his bank statement.

Mr. Bennett said that Mr. Brim had to come to federal court to fix his credit report; that there was no possibility of getting this off of his credit report without coming to federal court. But that's simply not the case. It didn't take a lawsuit to fix this credit report. It took the transactional detail report. And that's the only document it took.

We sympathize with Mr. Brim. There's no question now that he paid Dell in 2004. But when Midland purchased the account in 2007, when it received disputes about Mr. Brim's account in 2008 and 2009, all the information available to it would not have changed the fact that it believed it was a valid, collectible account.

We believe the evidence will show that Midland's investigations were reasonable. But if you conclude that the investigations were not reasonable, you have to ask whether Midland's

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

10:42:14

9

10:42:28 10

12 13

14

10:42:44 15

16 17

18 19

10:43:04 20

22

21

23 24

10:43:24 25

actions caused Mr. Brim any injury.

With the number of you who indicated that your hobbies were Alabama football or Auburn football, I probably don't have to explain many plays to you, but it's sort of like a pass attempt. We believe there was no contact here. But even if there was, you don't call pass interference if the ball is uncatchable.

Accordingly, at the end of the case, we're going to ask you to return a verdict in Midland's favor. Thank you.

of the jury, we're going to take a morning recess. And while you're on break, don't discuss the case among yourselves. And please observe the instruction I gave you yesterday. That is applicable all through this trial. And come back in 20 minutes. Thank you.

(Jury excused.)

(Short recess.)

(In open court. Jury present.)

THE COURT: Would you call your

first witness?

10:43:46

10:44:00 10

10:44:12 15

10:44:38 20

11:06:56 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

MR. BENNETT: Yes, Your Honor. If the Court please, for our first witness, I think

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
we would like to call Gabriel Edrozo, the
         representative for Midland here.
                          THE COURT: All right.
                          (Witness sworn.)
                          COURTROOM DEPUTY: Will you state
11:07:24
         your first and last name?
                          THE WITNESS: Gabriel Edrozo.
                          COURTROOM DEPUTY: And will you
         spell your last name?
11:07:32 10
                          THE WITNESS: E-D-R-O-Z-O.
     11
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
     12
         BY MR. BENNETT:
     13
                 Mr. Edrozo, where to you reside?
     14
                 In San Diego.
         Α
11:07:46 15
                 Where are you employed?
                 By Midland Credit Management.
     16
     17
                 Is that who's on your paycheck?
         Q
     18
         Α
                 Yes.
                 How long have you been so employed?
     19
11:07:52 20
         Α
                 For ten months now.
     21
                 Prior to Midland, how were you employed?
     22
                 Prior to Midland, I was with Thornton
     23
         Financial Industries. It was a debt buyer, as
     24
         well. There for four years. Prior to that with
11:08:06 25
         HSBC, Hong Kong Shanghai Banking Corporation. I
```

```
was with them for nine years.
                 What is your job title with Midland?
         0
                 I am a group manager.
                 Now, I apologize. You and I just met
         first time this morning, correct?
11:08:18
                Correct.
                 And you are here today as a potential
         witness for Midland, as well, not simply for my
         client to call, right?
11:08:30 10
                 Correct.
     11
                 And so I assume that you have done
     12
         background, and you've researched -- even though
     13
         you've only been at Midland for ten months, you
     14
         have prepared for today, prepared for this week,
         and have researched the issues in this trial?
11:08:44 15
     16
                 Yes.
     17
                 And as a group leader, you would be, in
     18
         part, responsible for understanding, helping
         implement the dispute procedures that Midland
     19
11:08:54 20
         uses?
     21
                 Not within my standard role with the
     22
         company. No.
     23
                 But you prepared for that for today?
     24
         Α
                 Yes.
11:09:02 25
         0
                You're familiar with the procedures that
```

are at issue in this case? Yes. Α If we could -- well, let me before I do that, let me ask a few things. Again, as the representative of Midland, 11:09:12 are you aware of anything that occurred with respect to how my client was treated by Midland that was contrary to Midland's standard operating procedure? 11:09:26 10 Can you repeat the question? 11 Sure. Are you aware of anything about the 12 way that my client was treated, Mr. Brim was 13 treated, that was contrary to Midland's standard operating procedure? 14 Nothing I'm aware of, no. 11:09:36 15 And in fact, everything that Midland did 16 with respect to my client was in accordance with 17 its intended procedures? 18 Correct. 19 Α 11:09:46 20 And those procedures, if my client -- if this happened again, if Dell did the same 21 22 reporting, if Midland received the same 23 information, and my client went through the same 24 dispute process over another Dell account he had 11:10:02 25 paid off, your procedures, even after this

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
lawsuit, are unchanged, correct?
                As respect to specifically to ACDV?
                As a way to handle my client's account
         category of disputes; that is, if the facts were
         to play out again in 2011 through 2013, Midland
11:10:18
         would expect the same outcome through Mr. Brim or
         for other consumers, as well?
         A I believe there are changes to that
         process.
11:10:34 10
                Really? What were those changes?
     11
                 I believe there are changes to the process
         of a written dispute. But I would have to defer
     12
     13
         to Angelique's testimony on that.
     14
                 Okay. Now, in opening, your company spent
11:10:50 15
         a little bit of time talking about something
         called a transactional detail?
     16
     17
                Yes.
         Α
                You're prepared to talk about that?
     18
         Q
                Yes.
     19
         Α
11:11:06 20
                 This is the exhibit book that you prepared
         for -- this is Midland's exhibits. You have seen
     21
     22
         this before today?
     23
                I have, yes.
     24
                         MR. BENNETT: May I offer this?
11:11:20 25
                         THE COURT: Did you say -- what
```

```
did you say?
                         MR. BENNETT: Your Honor, may I
         show just the book to the witness?
                         THE COURT: Yes. For the record,
         it is admitted.
11:11:32
         BY MR. BENNETT:
                 This is the copy your lawyers gave me.
         There is some better business bureau discussion
         in there. Have you reviewed that before?
11:11:46 10
                 I have not.
     11
                 But it also has the procedures. All of
         these procedures. The ones I've put up here and
     12
     13
         other procedures for Midland in there. You've
         seen those, correct?
     14
                 Yes, I have. Correct.
11:11:56 15
     16
                 Can you help the jury and we can pull one
         up on the Elmo -- show us where it discusses this
     17
         idea if a consumer provides -- I think you said a
     18
         transactional detail that that's sufficient
     19
11:12:12 20
         proof?
                Where it shows --
     21
     22
                 Anywhere. Any of the procedures that
     23
         match in the computer?
     24
         Α
                 Within the training documentation, it
11:12:28 25
         indicates the front and back of a check.
```

```
By itself? Is that what it says?
         Q
                 As well as a copy of a paid letter from
         the originator.
                As well as a copy -- Ms. Cauley, can you
         please pull up Plaintiff's Exhibit 35 on the
11:12:38
         monitor for the jury? And you also have it up
         there, correct, sir?
                 I don't. My monitor is blank.
         Α
                 Page 3. This is the module six disputes
11:12:54 10
         and warnings. That's your procedures, right?
     11
                 Yes.
         Α
     12
                All right. Now, on that bottom left-hand
     13
         corner where I embarrassed myself in front of the
     14
         jury trying to read it, you can read it now,
11:13:04 15
         right? Number 3 under proof required for
         accounts outside the validation period for
     16
         accounts paid disputes?
     17
     18
         Α
                Yes.
                 And, of course, the rhetorical, there is
     19
11:13:20 20
         no mention of this idea if a consumer obtains
     21
         some deeper document from a bank, whether its
     22
         transactional detail or something else, that's
     23
         not mentioned in your procedures, right?
     24
                No. Our procedures are specific to the
        front and back of a check.
11:13:34 25
```

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Would you speak up? I can't hear you. THE WITNESS: Okay. I will. THE COURT: Okay. You said what, now? Our procedures are specific to the front 11:13:40 and back of a check? THE WITNESS: Front and back of a check, yes. BY MR. BENNETT: Can you read for me, then, under Number 3 11:13:46 10 11 if a consumer disputes by saying the account has been paid, what documents does Midland's 12 procedures allow as a means to -- for a consumer 13 to obtain correction of an inaccurate paid item? 14 11:14:04 15 Α Are you referring to Three? 16 Sure. Unless you have a better idea. 17 Which Three? The mouse is moving to the other side of the screen. 18 19 How about this? Do we have our black 11:14:16 20 volume? The jury already has our black volume. They can look at it. Let's be fair to 21 Page 3. 22 So the jury has that same Exhibit 35, Page 23 3. Exhibit 35, Page 3. Bottom left hand. When 24 a consumer says the account is paid on Page 3 of 11:15:06 25 that exhibit -- I think it's the next page. I

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
want to make sure everybody has it. Bottom
         left-hand corner, what document does Midland's
         procedure allow a consumer to use or provide a
         consumer could use as a means to obtain
         correction of a paid in full account?
11:15:26
                 A copy of a paid letter, a copy of a
         settlement offer, and front /back of cancelled
         check.
                 Now, you've been in banking industry for
11:15:40 10
         how long? Finance industry?
     11
                 It's been, now, 15 years.
                 And when did you first learn about what a
     12
         transactional detail is?
     13
         Α
               That was more recent within the last five
     14
11:15:56 15
         months.
                As in the litigation in this case?
     16
     17
                Yes.
                 The first time you ever heard of
     18
         transactional detail, despite your 15 years in
     19
11:16:06 20
         the finance industry, was in the litigation in
         this case, correct?
     21
     22
                 Correct.
     23
                 Do you have a bachelor of science in
     24
         business like my client?
         A I do not.
11:16:18 25
```

```
What's your degree in?
         Q
                 I do not have a degree.
                 Since you're on 35, on that same page, in
         fact, Page 3, look at the top right-hand corner.
         It says for consumers that -- for any -- all
11:16:48
         disputes that occur outside the first 45 days of
         your client buying the account, it says burden of
         proof equals consumer. Do you see that very top
         heading? Burden of proof equals consumer? Do
11:17:04 10
         you see that?
     11
                 Yes.
                And could you turn to the page just before
     12
         it? Page 2 of this exhibit? On the top right,
     13
         it says, again, outside this 45-day period, do
     14
         you see where it says burden of proof is on the
11:17:22 15
     16
         consumer to validate the dispute claim. Do you
     17
         see that?
     18
         Α
                Yes.
                 Do you have any idea where Midland gets
     19
11:17:30 20
         this idea that it's somehow the consumer's burden
         to proof the validity or invalidity of a debt
     21
     22
         your client has bought for pennies from Dell
     23
         Financial?
                I don't know.
     24
         Α
                You don't know?
11:17:46 25
         0
```

```
I don't.
         Α
                         MR. BENNETT: I don't have other
         questions. I appreciate your courtesy. I
         understand that I've not had a chance to examine
         before or you answer. I thank you. Answer any
11:17:54
         questions that your counsel has.
                         THE COURT: Any questions?
                         MR. LANGLEY: No questions at this
         time.
11:18:00 10
                         THE COURT: Thank you so much.
     11
         Wait. Do y'all have any questions? I forgot to
         ask you. You know, I told you you could ask
     12
         questions. Do you need just a short break to
     13
         think about it? Just write them down. You can't
     14
         ask them orally. Just write them down and give
11:18:14 15
         them to Tammi. I need to go get my glasses
     16
     17
         anyway. Just remain seated, sir.
                         (Short recess.)
     18
     19
                         THE COURT: Do you have the
11:21:58 20
         questions? I need to see the lawyers in
     21
         chambers.
     22
                         (Bench discussion in chambers out
     23
         of presence and hearing of jury.)
                         (End of bench discussion.)
     24
11:24:02 25
                         (In open court. Jury present.)
```

THE COURT: Okay. One question. It's the first question. The plaintiff's attorney inquired whether the policy or procedures had changed at Midland. Never heard the answer. Will you repeat your 11:24:32 answer, please? THE WITNESS: My answer is I believe there had been some changes. But I would have to defer to Angelique's deposition. THE COURT: You talking about 11:24:40 10 11 Ms. Ross? 12 THE WITNESS: Ms. Ross. Angelique 13 Ross. THE COURT: And then the next 14 11:24:46 15 question is: Is Exhibit 35 the same today as it 16 was in -- on May the 3rd, 2010? 17 THE WITNESS: I am not sure. THE COURT: Okay. After a lawsuit 18 was filed, you stated some changes were made in 19 11:25:20 20 how disputes were handled within the company. 21 Can you elaborate? 22 THE WITNESS: I cannot, no. 23 THE COURT: Okay. Now, did you 24 hear it? Because I mean, Mr. Edrozo looked at 11:25:30 25 me. Did you hear the answers? Okay. Thank you.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
You may step down. Thank you, sir.
                          (Witness steps down.)
                         THE COURT: Can you call your next
         witness, please?
                         MS. CAULEY: We would like to call
11:26:00
         Angelique Ross by deposition.
                         THE COURT: Are you going to read
         the questions?
                         MS. CAULEY: I am.
11:26:10 10
                         THE COURT: And Mr. Sykstus,
     11
         you're going to be Angelique Ross?
                         MR. SYKSTUS: Just for today.
     12
                         THE COURT: You would consider
     13
         that as if Ms. Ross was here in person,
     14
11:26:20 15
         testifying in front of you.
                 And are you making the deposition
     16
     17
         available for Cheryl?
                         MR. BENNETT: We are, Your Honor.
     18
         Yes, Your Honor.
     19
11:26:54 20
                          (Discussion off the record.)
                         MR. BENNETT: Your Honor, what
     21
     22
         we're going to attempt to do because there are
     23
         different exhibit numbers for the deposition,
     24
         we're going to do our best to put the correct
11:27:08 25
         ones with the Court's exhibit number up on the
```

```
screen.
                          THE COURT:
                                       Okay.
                          DIRECT EXAMINATION
         BY MS. CAULEY:
                 Please state your name for the record.
11:27:38
                 Angelique Danielle Ross.
                 The reason you've been put up for
         deposition are the experience and duties you have
         for the FCRA?
11:27:46 10
                 Yes.
     11
                 Do you understand that you are testifying
         here on behalf of Midland Credit Management?
     12
     13
         Α
                 Yes.
                 You're an employee of Midland Credit
     14
11:27:54 15
         Management?
                 Yes.
     16
     17
                 And, in fact, is it true that Midland
         Funding has no employees?
     18
                 That's true.
     19
         Α
11:28:00 20
                 Midland Funding is the owner of the debt
         that was purchased with respect to Mr. Brim?
     21
     22
                 Yes.
         Α
     23
                 And all accounts are actually purchased by
     24
         Midland Funding, L.L.C.; is that right?
11:28:12 25
         A
                Yes.
```

1	Q But all employees who have any		
2	responsibility with respect to collecting or the		
3	handling of disputes through the credit bureau		
4	are employed by Midland Credit Management?		
11:28:24 5	A Yes.		
6	Q Can we agree for purposes of the		
7	deposition that if I use the term, "Midland," I		
8	am referring to Midland Credit Management?		
g	A Yes.		
11:28:34 10	Q You already gave us your name. Will you		
11	give us your address, please?		
12	A 8875 Arrow Drive, Suite 200, San Diego,		
13	California 92123.		
14	Q And what position do you hold at Midland?		
11:28:56 15	A I am the consumer relations manager.		
16	Q How long have you held that position?		
17	A A little over four years.		
18	Q Who is your supervisor at Midland?		
19	A Juan Naves.		
11:29:08 20	Q What is his title?		
21	A General counsel.		
22	Q Besides working at Midland, have you		
23	worked at any other employer where you had any		
24	responsibilities with respect to the Fair Credit		
11:29:22 25	Reporting Act?		

	1	A	No.
	2	Q	Prior to being employed by Midland, have
	3	you eve	r had any training regarding the Fair
	4	Credit	Reporting Act?
11:29:26	5	А	No.
	6	Q	When a dispute comes in from a consumer to
	7	Midland	and it is a dispute regarding whether or
	8	not the	y owe the account or owe the debt, what
	9	departm	ent would that dispute go to for review?
11:29:44	10	A	Any review of the dispute would come to
	11	consume	r relations.
	12	Q	Are you familiar with the term, "ACDV"?
	13	А	Yes.
	14	Q	When ACDVs come in to Midland, are those
11:29:58	15	handled	by the consumer relations department?
	16	A	Yes.
	17	Q	No other department at Midland would be
	18	respons	ible for responding to ACDVs?
	19	A	No.
11:30:06	20	Q	Tell me what your duties are as the
	21	consume	r relations manager.
	22	А	I manage the consumer relations staff
	23	which i	nclude managing workload and workload
	24	assignm	ents in addition to responding to some
11:30:20	25	escalat	ed consumer issues.

```
What are the duties for the consumer
         relations supervisors?
                 They first have to manage the consumer
         relations liaisons directly. They also respond
         to escalated consumer issues. They also may
11:30:32
         answer questions from their team members.
                 The liaisons, are those individuals
         responsible for handling the ACDVs?
         Α
                 Yes.
11:30:44 10
                 Do they have any other duties?
     11
                 Yes.
         Δ
                 What are those?
     12
     13
                 They process consumer correspondence and
         answer consumer phone calls.
     14
11:30:52 15
                 So any time they're responding or sending
         out correspondence to a consumer, it would be in
     16
     17
         response to either a telephone call or a letter
     18
         from that consumer concerning some type of
     19
         dispute?
11:31:06 20
         Α
                 That's correct.
     21
                 So the liaisons actually answer the
     22
         telephone calls that come in from consumers?
     23
                 Yes.
     24
                 And then they process mail that comes in
11:31:16 25
         from consumers?
```

```
Α
                 Yes.
                 And then they are responsible for sending
         0
         correspondence out to consumers?
                 Yes.
         Α
                 And they also handle ACDVs?
11:31:22
         Q
                 Yes.
         Α
         Q
                 And there are seven liaisons currently?
                 In the San Diego site, there are seven.
         Α
         Q
                 How many other sites for Midland have
11:31:36 10
         consumer relations department?
     11
                 One.
         Α
                 What is that site?
     12
         Q
                 St. Cloud, Minnesota.
     13
                 How many do they have?
     14
11:31:42 15
                 There are five full-time liaisons and one
         Α
     16
         liaison that splits her time between consumer
     17
         relations and another department.
                 Does the St. Cloud site also handle ACDVs
     18
         that come in?
     19
11:31:54 20
         Α
                 Yes.
                 So ACDVs are actually handled at two
     21
     22
         separate sites, then?
     23
                 Yes. The majority are handled by the St.
     24
         Cloud team.
11:32:04 25
         Q And in this case, Mr. Brim's account was
```

related to a computer purchase. So all of his -all his ACDVs would have been handled by the St. Cloud site? Either the St. Cloud site or our automated 11:32:20 system, yes. And what automated system does Midland use? We use a batch interface. Δ How does that work? 11:32:28 10 When a ACDV comes in, we use an E-Oscar 11 system, our automated system can look in or look at the ACDV, match it, compare it to our account 12 system information, and respond to the majority 13 of the ACDVs. 14 11:32:42 15 Can you give me your best judgment on what 16 percentage of ACDVs are handled exclusively by the batch interface? 17 I would say maybe 95 percent. 18 So I make sure I understand, when a ACDV 19 11:32:58 20 comes in, the batch interface system can review the computer codes on the ACDV and compare the 21 22 information contained on the ACDV with the 23 information in Midland's system, and 24 automatically verify that the information is 11:33:12 25 accurate?

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
Α
                 Yes.
                 If the consumer's letter says cease
         contact or there is a cease and desist letter as
         well as a dispute, no request from Midland
         regarding additional documentation is sent?
11:33:26
                 Correct.
                 Is that the same whether it is a general
         dispute, a paid prior dispute, or a fraud
         dispute?
11:33:36 10
                 Yes.
                 So that's Midland's policy with respect to
     11
         any dispute; if it is a writing outside the 45
     12
     13
         days and it includes a cease and desist contact,
     14
         then the account is simply marked as disputed and
         a no-contact code is entered and no letter from
11:33:50 15
         Midland is ever sent to the consumer?
     16
     17
                 Yes. As long as the consumer is not --
     18
         does not mention credit reporting. As of the
         time frame of this account, that's what would
     19
11:34:04 20
         have happened, yes.
     21
                 During the time that Mr. Brim was sending
     22
         his letters, that is what would have happened?
     23
         Α
                 Yes.
                 Is that different now?
     24
         Q
11:34:24 25
         Α
                 Yeah. As of July 1st, yes.
```

```
What is the procedure now?
         Q
                 Now if the consumer requests a cease and
         desist and did not provide documentation, a
         letter will go out, stating that we need more
         information but also include information that
11:34:38
         says, per your request, we will not contact you
         any further.
                 Who is responsible for that change in
         policy?
11:34:46 10
                 The compliance department.
     11
                 Any changes with respect to the handling
         of ACDVs?
     12
     13
         Α
                 No.
                 Going back to 2008 and 2009, if a consumer
     14
11:35:00 15
         sent in a written dispute, regardless of what the
     16
         dispute was, and it did contain some
     17
         documentation, how was that dispute handled?
                 It would depend on the documentation that
     18
     19
         was received.
11:35:12 20
         Q
                 What about with respect to a paid prior
         dispute?
     21
     22
                 We may receive paid letters.
         Α
     23
         0
                 Like a paid-in-full letter?
     24
         Α
                 Yes.
11:35:24 25
         0
                 Okay.
```

We may receive settlement offer letters with copies of proof of payment. Sometimes we receive cancelled checks. We receive bank statements. Who reviews the documentation that is sent 11:35:36 Who reviews the documentation that is sent in? in with respect to a dispute? Is that the consumer relations department? Α Yes. 11:35:50 10 Does the department open the letter and deal with the letter or is it scanned and sent 11 12 electronically? We get the hard copies of the 13 14 correspondence so the letters are actually opened and read. The hard copies. 11:36:00 15 In consumer relations? 16 17 Yes. Regardless of whether it is in San Diego 18 or St. Cloud, a consumer relations employee will 19 11:36:12 20 actually open the mail and read the letter? The mail is opened by our mailroom. But 21 22 the consumer relations team, either site, they're 23 responsible for reading the letter. 24 Does the letter go to consumer relations 11:36:24 25 as the actual letter or is it scanned?

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

It is the actual letter. Δ What is done with it after consumer relations sees it? After it is received and reviewed, any dispute letters will then be scanned after they 11:36:36 have been processed. MS. CAULEY: For the record, Your Honor, we're going to be referring to Pages 168 and 169, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit 34. 11:37:12 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 BY MS. CAULEY: Pages 168 through 169, that's the 12 quidelines for handling written disputes that it 13 has been paid prior; is that correct? 14 11:37:24 15 Correct. Α The liaison is to review the account and 16 17 verify that the social, name, and address match? Yes. If they can. Consumers don't always 18 include all the information on their 19 11:37:50 20 correspondence. They're also looking for proof. Here it 21 22 says it could be the front and back of a 23 cancelled check with a settlement offer letter or 24 paid letter with a matching account number? 11:38:00 25 A Yes.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Are there any other documents that help consumer relations liaisons determine what is sufficient proof with respect to the accounts with a paid prior? Say that again. 11:38:12 Sure. It has two examples of what would constitute proof of a paid prior dispute on Page 168, right? Α Correct. 11:38:24 10 Is there any other document or memo or 11 guideline that would help a liaison know what 12 other proof would be acceptable with respect to Midland? 13 14 Α No. 11:38:36 15 There is no list of other documents that 16 would be accepted as proof that an account had 17 been paid prior? Not that I am aware of. 18 Is a bank statement showing payment to the 19 11:38:48 20 original creditor sufficient proof that the account had been paid prior? 21 22 No. Α 23 Never? 24 Α Not by itself, no. 11:38:56 25 0 On Number 5, it says if unable to

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
determine if proof is valid, account will be
         referred to ACO.
                 It stands for acquisitions.
                 Do you have any knowledge what happens
         when an account is assigned to the acquisitions
11:39:14
         department?
                 Yes.
         Α
                 What happens?
                 Like in this case, if an account is
         Α
         assigned to them, they may follow up, go back to
11:39:24 10
     11
         the seller of the account to ask questions
         related to whatever the issue is.
     12
     13
                 If the proof is determined sufficient,
         then a warning code, 286, is entered on the
     14
         account; is that correct?
11:39:36 15
     16
                 Correct.
     17
                 Then the reporting of the account to the
         credit bureaus would stop and the account would
     18
         be deleted?
     19
11:39:46 20
         Α
                 Correct.
                 If the proof is determined insufficient,
     21
         then warning code of 130 is added to the account?
     22
                 It is actually the 286, and the 130 would
     23
         be added at the same time. So the 130 is added
     24
11:40:00 25
         when that consumer is provided documentation and
```

```
the account is being deleted.
                 If the proof is deemed not sufficient and
         it is assigned to acquisitions, then neither of
         those warning codes would be entered on the
         account?
11:40:14
                 That's correct.
                In the comments on Page 169 for Step 5, it
         says, assign account to the CPL queue. What is
         CPL queue?
11:40:26 10
                 It is a designated location in our system
         which indicates the account should be deleted.
     11
                Is the account deleted from Midland's
     12
     13
         system all together or just from the credit
         bureaus?
     14
                From the credit bureaus.
11:40:40 15
     16
                 It would only be assigned to the CPL queue
         if the proof provided was deemed valid by
     17
         Midland?
     18
               Correct.
     19
         Α
11:40:50 20
                 Let's go to the next comment. It says,
     21
         forward proof to acquisitions for possible put
     22
                Is that what happens if the proof is not
     23
         sufficient?
     24
                That actually happens if the proof is
11:41:02 25
         sufficient.
```

 ${\it CHERYL~K.~POWELL},~{\it CCR},~{\it RPR},~{\it FCRR}$

```
What does that mean forward proof for
         Q
         possible put back?
                 There is a period of time in which the
         account can be sent back to the seller if Midland
         received proof that it was valid and no longer
11:41:12
         collectible. So the information would be sent to
         acquisitions to see if they should give that back
         to the seller.
                 Then the next comment, send the consumer a
         QCDT letter, which is the deletion letter.
11:41:26 10
     11
         That's only done if the proof was determined to
         be valid?
     12
     13
                Correct.
     14
                 Are there any comments that tell you what
         happens if the consumer relations liaison is
11:41:38 15
         unable to determine if the proof is valid?
     16
     17
                 Yes. If the consumer relations liaison is
         unable to determine that the proof is valid, then
     18
         they would go to Step 6 through 9.
     19
11:41:52 20
         Q
                So the account is not sent to
         acquisitions, or is it?
     21
     22
                 It would be sent to acquisitions.
     23
         not the actual account itself. It is more the
     24
         document is sent to acquisitions.
11:42:04 25
         Q And the account is also assigned to PDPQ?
```

```
Correct.
         Α
                And what does that stand for?
                 It is a written dispute outside of the 45
         Α
         days where the consumer has disputed that the
         account has been paid prior.
11:42:16
                 For any paid prior dispute that is
         received in writing where the consumer relations
         department is unable to determine if the proof is
         valid, the account should be assigned to the
11:42:36 10
         PDPO; is that correct?
     11
                Yes. If they were unable to determine if
         it's valid. For example, if it was missing an
     12
     13
         account number and it was a paid letter, that is
     14
         something they would put in that, assign to that.
11:42:48 15
                What if it is a bank statement received,
     16
         showing a payment and they can't determine if
     17
         that is valid? Is it also sent to the PDPQ?
                 That document would be considered invalid.
     18
         So they would have made a determination that it
     19
11:43:02 20
         was invalid.
     21
                 So a dispute containing a bank statement
     22
         showing a payment is automatically deemed
     23
         invalid?
     24
                Yes. Generally, I would say that is true
11:43:14 25
        unless there is something else with it or maybe
```

```
something else on the account that would add to
         the determination.
                 So any letters that are received from
         consumers disputing an account as paid prior that
         contain a bank statement would not be assigned to
11:43:26
         the PDPO?
                 Unless there was something else on the
         account that would make the liaison believe it
         should be. I would say 99.9 percent would not be
11:43:42 10
         assigned to the PDPQ.
     11
                 If an account is assigned to the PDPQ,
     12
         then it stays in that queue until the dispute is
         resolved; is that correct?
     13
     14
         Α
           Yes.
11:43:54 15
                 If the dispute is not resolved in 120
     16
         days, the account automatically moves through a
         process into the PDRQ?
     17
     18
         Α
                Yes.
                 And all reporting on the account is
     19
11:44:06 20
         stopped?
     21
         Α
                Yes.
     22
                 That was the policy that existed in 2008
     23
         and 2009 for Midland?
     24
         Α
                Yes.
11:44:14 25
         0
                 That's the same policy that exists today?
```

```
Α
                 Yes.
                 Are you familiar with the term,
         "interrogatories"?
                 Yes.
                 As part of your responsibilities as the
11:44:28
         manager of consumer relations, did you sign the
         interrogatories on behalf of Midland?
      8
                 Yes.
         Α
                 Did you review the documents produced by
11:44:42 10
         us prior to your deposition today?
     11
                 Yes.
         Α
                 Page 54. Your reporting of accounts is
     12
         done by Midland Credit Management; is that
     13
         correct?
     14
11:45:20 15
                 Correct.
                 With respect to Midland, Midland does not
     16
         use any type of outsourced vendors for the
     17
         handling of ACDV?
     18
     19
                 No.
         Α
11:45:28 20
                 You would agree that Midland is
     21
         responsible for reporting accurate information to
     22
         the credit reporting agencies regarding specific
     23
         accounts, correct?
     24
         Α
                 Correct.
11:45:38 25
         0
                 Would you agree that Midland is
```

```
responsible for the accuracy of the information
         that it reports specifically to the credit
         bureaus?
                 Correct.
                 I believe you told me earlier that you are
11:45:46
         familiar with the Fair Credit Reporting Act?
         Α
                 Yes.
      8
                 Are you aware that Midland is responsible
         for investigating the disputes received on an
11:46:02 10
         account to the credit reporting agents?
     11
                 Yes.
         Α
                 And Midland is responsible for conducting
     12
         that investigation within 30 days?
     13
                 Yes.
     14
         Α
                 Those disputes are all received via the
11:46:12 15
         ACDV through the credit bureaus?
     16
     17
                 Yes.
         Α
                 You told me 99 percent of ACDVs are
     18
         handled electronically through the batch; is that
     19
11:46:26 20
         right?
                 Yes.
     21
         Α
     22
                 If an ACDV is not handled automatically
     23
         through the batch system, are there steps
     24
         contained in any type of manual or policy,
11:46:36 25
         whether it is printed or just a note on the
```

system, that tells an individual in consumer relations how to investigate that credit dispute? Well, as far as using the actual system, there is a tutorial that is available through the E-Oscar system to show them how to actually put 11:46:50 information in. Other than that, we just have some screen prints that show the screen in order to find the information on our system. Does that E-Oscar tutorial help the 11:47:04 10 employees know how to perform or respond to an 11 ACDV that is received through E-Oscar? No. Well, it shows them the choices they 12 13 have to respond. But it is more or less a user 14 guide of how to use and navigate through the E-Oscar system itself. 11:47:18 15 So the tutorial doesn't explain to the 16 17 consumer relations employee how to actually conduct an investigation with respect to an ACDV, 18 but basically gets them the drop-down menus of 19 11:47:32 20 what codes are available for responding; would that be fair? 21 22 Yes. Α 23 Once an ACDV is actually completed and 24 returned to the credit bureau, there is no 11:47:42 25 internal monitoring of whether those responses

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
were correct?
                 No.
         Α
                 How many disputes does Midland normally
         get, say, per week of -- for ACDV?
                 I would say maybe about 8,000.
11:47:54
                 Would that be the same pretty much every
         week?
                 Yeah.
         Α
                 Then if my math is right, five percent of
11:48:02 10
         that would be about 400 are actually handled by
         an individual in the consumer relations
     11
         department per week?
     12
     13
                 Yeah. I guess that is about right.
                 Have those numbers been the same from 2008
     14
         to today?
11:48:16 15
                 I would say approximately the same.
     16
     17
                 I understand they might go up slightly.
         But overall, they've been about the same since
     18
         January of 2008?
     19
11:48:26 20
         Α
                 Yes.
     21
                 And you've actually been the manager of
     22
         the consumer relations department since January
     23
         of 2008?
     24
         Α
                Yes.
11:48:36 25
         0
                 If you go to the next letter, which is
```

```
Page 3, this is actually a letter that Mr. Brim
         sent in to Midland. And it is dated July 29th,
         2008.
                 And for the jury, that is Exhibit 11 in
         our notebook.
11:48:58
         Α
                 Correct.
                 It was received on August 5th, 2008 by
         your department?
         Α
                 Correct.
11:49:30 10
                 Attached to that letter was a bank
     11
         statement from Redstone Federal Credit Union?
     12
                 Correct.
                 In this letter, Mr. Brim indicated he
     13
     14
         disputed the debt; is that right?
11:49:40 15
                 Yes.
         Α
     16
                 And he disputed the debt because the debt
     17
         was paid on November 8th of 2004?
     18
         Α
                 Yes.
     19
                 And indicated in his letter, he was -- and
11:49:54 20
         indicated in his letter, he was included a
         detailed report from his bank statement, showing
     21
     22
         the payment and transaction letter?
     23
                 Yes.
     24
                 He also requested no further communication
11:50:04 25
         by phone or in writing from Midland?
```

```
Α
                 Yes.
                 As a result of that cease and desist
         request, no additional letters were ever sent to
         Mr. Brim?
         Α
                 Correct.
11:50:14
                 Do you know which employee received this
         letter?
                 According to the notes, Melanie Bloome.
         Α
                 Still employed?
         Q
11:50:24 10
         Α
                 Yes.
     11
                 Can you tell from Page 53 what action
     12
         Ms. Bloome took upon receipt of this letter?
                 Well, I know that she noted the account.
     13
     14
         I believe she would have marked the account as
11:50:38 15
         disputed. And also marked it with a cease and
         desist which is indicated by DISP for dispute and
     16
     17
         CND or cease and desist. And then the letter it
         says to forward it over to the firm handling it
     18
         at the time.
     19
11:50:54 20
                 Page 53 it says included copy of bank
         statement, showing $954.12 to Dell Financial
     21
     22
         11-08; is that right?
     23
                 Yes.
         Α
     24
                 Then it says not proof?
11:51:08 25
         Α
                 Yes.
```

```
The next exhibit is Exhibit 12. Document
         Q
         5. That is a letter from Mr. Brim, dated March
         10th, 2009 to Midland; is that right?
                 Yes.
                 It is disputing the debt?
11:51:30
         Q
                 Yes.
         Α
                 He states he does not owe this debt and
         does not owe any debt to Dell; is that right?
         Α
                 Yes.
11:51:40 10
                 He puts that the debt was paid in full on
     11
         November 8th, 2004, and he encloses a copy of his
         bank statement?
     12
     13
                Yes.
     14
                 Also in this letter, Mr. Brim requests
         Midland immediately correct his credit report
11:51:52 15
         with all three agencies to show a zero balance
     16
     17
         and no derogatory or negative information,
         correct?
     18
               Correct.
     19
         Α
11:52:04 20
                 And who handled this letter that was
         received?
     21
     22
                 Melanie Bloome.
     23
                 The only action that would have been taken
     24
         by Ms. Bloome upon receipt of the second letter
11:52:18 25
         is to document the receipt of it and then send it
```

```
to be scanned?
                 Yes.
         Α
                 The next exhibit would be 15. Look at the
         collection account detail. It notes that
         Mr. Brim called in with a dispute, as well.
11:52:48
                 Yes.
         Α
                 What date was that?
                 3-11, 2009.
         Α
         Q
                 Which consumer relations employee received
11:53:00 10
         that call?
     11
                 Sydney Barrett.
         Α
                 Is that a man or a woman?
     12
         Q
     13
         Α
                 Woman.
     14
                 Is she in San Diego?
                 Yes.
11:53:06 15
         Α
                 Looking at Page 53, March 10th, 2009, it
     16
     17
         has FAC Data called. Request authorization to
         release and to have consumer fax cease and
     18
         desist. Do you know what that means?
     19
11:53:24 20
         Α
                 I believe so.
     21
                 Can you tell us?
     22
                 I believe FAC Data is short for Factual
     23
         Data which is a company. So Factual Data called
     24
         in. Now it is referring to the person who
11:53:38 25
         requested the note. They request information to
```

```
release. Basically to release information and
         also to have the consumer fax a cease and desist
         release.
                 What kind of company is Factual Data?
                 I believe they are a credit verification
11:53:52
         company. They do something verifying information
         on the credit report.
                 And the employee at Midland told them
         Mr. Brim would need to fax a cease and desist
11:54:04 10
         release?
     11
                 He told them they would need an
         authorization so they could release information
     12
     13
         and to have the consumer fax a cease and desist
         release.
     14
11:54:16 15
                 Continuing up to the same date, it has CCI
     16
         from blocked number. Do you know what that is?
     17
                CCI is customer called in from a blocked
         number. Transferred to extension 5034.
     18
                 BC7. Do you know who that is?
     19
11:54:38 20
         Α
                 Sydney Barrett.
     21
                 She is in consumer relations?
         0
     22
                 Yes.
         Α
     23
                 She took the call on March 11th, the next
     24
         day?
11:54:44 25
         A
                Yes.
```

Do you know why the account manager employee indicated that Mr. Brim needed to fax a cease and desist release? From what I know, the account managers can't speak with or they don't speak with 11:54:56 consumers who have a cease and desist on their account. So they would request to have something indicating that the consumer basically wanted to have communication again. 11:55:08 10 But that doesn't apply to consumer 11 relations when the consumer is calling in, regarding the dispute? 12 13 That's correct. There is no information that Ms. Barrett 14 told Mr. Brim he needed to fax in a cease and 11:55:18 15 desist release? 16 17 Correct. There is no notation that Ms. Barrett 18 informed Mr. Brim that the documentation he 19 11:55:28 20 previously provided was insufficient to resolve the dispute? 21 22 Correct. 23 Following receipt of Mr. Brim's two 24 letters and his telephone call, Midland continued 11:55:38 25 to report the account with a past due balance and

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
being owed by Mr. Brim?
                 Yes. It continued to report but was
         marked as disputed.
                 It was reporting with a balance due of
         over $1,600. So we're clear, after March, 2009,
11:55:52
         Midland continued to report a balance due of over
         $1,600?
         A
                Yes.
                 That amount changed monthly based on
11:56:04 10
         interest?
     11
                 Yes.
         Α
                 There is no information in the collection
     12
         detail that Midland ever contacted Dell to
     13
     14
         question or investigate Mr. Brim's dispute?
11:56:14 15
                That's correct.
         Α
                 On Page 7, it indicates an interest rate
     16
     17
         of six percent.
     18
         Α
                Yes.
     19
                 That Midland is adding to the account?
11:56:40 20
         Α
                 Yes.
                 Do you have any information why Midland
     21
     22
         chose that interest rate?
     23
         Α
                 No.
     24
                 And also on this document, Seven, on the
11:56:50 25
        additional data screen, it indicates that the
```

```
date of occurrence was October 18th, 2004.
                 Okay.
         Α
                 Do you see that?
                 Yes.
         Α
                 To your knowledge, would that have been
11:57:00
         the charge-off date or the delinquency date?
                 It is the delinquency date.
                 Under that, it has the statute of
         limitations expiration date as October 18th,
         2007?
11:57:16 10
     11
         Α
                 Yes.
                 If letters are mailed out on an account,
     12
         they would be documented in the collection detail
     13
         unless they've been previously archived?
     14
11:57:36 15
         Α
                 Yes.
                 If you look at entry on Page 3 dated
     16
     17
         January 21st, 2008, about midway down, do you see
         that?
     18
     19
                 Yes.
         Α
11:57:48 20
         Q
                 It says, account eligible for recovery.
         Legal letter mailed?
     21
     22
                 Yes.
         Α
                 Going up to March 30th, 2008, the account
     23
     24
         was referred to an attorney's office?
11:58:00 25
         A
                Yes.
```

```
Going back to Two, if you look at the
         comments, there is no indication that Mr. Brim
         was told either in writing or on the phone during
         his telephone call that he needed to send in
         additional documentation for his dispute,
11:58:12
         correct?
         Α
                Correct.
                 And the payment of $954.12 was never added
         to the account or credited to the account?
11:58:22 10
                 No.
         Α
     11
                 So the fact that Mr. Brim had sent in a
         bank statement, showing a payment to Dell
     12
         Financial in the amount of $954.12 -- Midland,
     13
     14
         first, did not consider that to be proof of
11:58:36 15
         payment in full on the account, correct?
     16
                 Correct.
     17
                 And Midland didn't consider it to be proof
     18
         of at least a partial payment, correct?
                Correct.
     19
         Α
11:58:44 20
                 And Midland never contacted Dell to
     21
         determine what the status of that payment was?
     22
                 That's correct.
     23
                 And then on August 6th, 2008, there's an
     24
         entry on Plaintiff's Exhibit 2 that an ACDV was
11:59:00 25
         received from Transunion; is that correct?
```

```
Correct.
         Α
                 And the fact that there are asterisks
         where employee ID would be contained, does that
         indicate to you that that ACDV was handled
         electronically by the batch interface system?
11:59:14
         Α
                 Yes.
                 No actual documents were reviewed in
         responding to the ACDV received on August 6th,
         2008 from Transunion?
11:59:28 10
                 No.
         Α
     11
                 Transunion --
                         MR. LANGLEY: Your Honor, there's
     12
     13
         actually more to that answer that's not being
     14
         read.
11:59:38 15
                         MS. CAULEY: That's all we
     16
         designated.
     17
                         MR. LANGLEY: I don't think you
         can designate half an answer.
     18
                          THE COURT: Read the whole answer.
     19
11:59:44 20
                         MR. SYKSTUS: Yes, Your Honor.
                      The system didn't review that. But
     21
                 No.
     22
         if there were review of the documents happening
     23
         at that time, there would have been specific
     24
         codes that the system could have recognized.
11:59:52 25
                          JUROR 16: Could you repeat that
```

```
question? I got lost in all that.
                         MR. CAULEY: Certainly.
         BY MS. CAULEY:
                 The question: No actual documents were
         reviewed in responding to the ACDV received on
12:00:02
         August 6th, 2008 from Transunion?
                 No. The system didn't review that. But
         if there were review of the documents happening
         at that time, there would have been specific
         codes that the system could have recognized.
12:00:20 10
     11
                 Transunion. Upon receipt of that ACDV,
         Dell was not contacted?
     12
     13
         Α
              No.
                Redstone Federal Credit Union, where the
     14
         bank statement was from, was not contacted to
12:00:36 15
     16
         verify whether the bank statement was valid or
         whether a payment had been made?
     17
     18
         Α
                No.
                 There is an entry on August 12th, 2008.
     19
12:00:46 20
         An ACDV was received from Experian; is that
         right?
     21
     22
                 Yes.
     23
                 And, again, the batch interface system
     24
         handled that dispute electronically?
12:00:56 25
        A That's right.
```

```
Nothing was done differently in the
         handling of the first ACDV than the first?
                 No.
         Α
                 On March 19th, 2009, a third ACDV was
         received from -- this one was from Transunion; is
12:01:06
         that right?
         Α
                 Yes.
                 And at that time, it states the dispute
         type was 109?
12:01:14 10
                 Yes.
         Α
     11
                 And, again, the batch interface system
         responded to that ACDV?
     12
     13
         Α
                 Yes.
     14
                 It was the same response as to the
         previous two ACDVs?
12:01:24 15
                 Yes. It looks like it.
     16
     17
                 No investigation was done by a consumer
         relations employee into the dispute?
     18
     19
                 No.
         Α
12:01:34 20
                 No documents were reviewed by any employee
         of consumer relations in response to the ACDV?
     21
     22
                 No.
         Α
     23
                 No letters were sent to Mr. Brim regarding
     24
         receipt of that ACDV?
12:01:46 25
         A No. No letters could be sent regarding
```

```
that dispute because of the cease and desist.
                 And Dell was not contacted?
         0
                 That's correct.
                 On March 20th, 2009, the very next day, an
         ACDV is received from Equifax?
12:02:00
                 Yes.
         Α
                 This fourth ACDV was also handled by the
         batch interface system?
         Α
                 That's correct.
                 Nothing new was done in responding to that
12:02:08 10
     11
         ACDV?
     12
         Α
                 No.
                 Then on February 25th, 2010, an ACDV was
     13
         received from Transunion?
     14
12:02:18 15
         Α
                 Yes.
                 This fifth ACDV was handled by the batch
     16
     17
         interface system?
                 Yes.
     18
         Α
                 With respect to all of the ACDVs that were
     19
12:02:26 20
         received by Midland regarding disputes by
         Mr. Brim, each and every one of them was handled
     21
     22
         electronically by the batch interface system?
     23
                 Yes.
     24
                 No consumer relations employee ever
12:02:38 25
        reviewed the ACDVs?
```

```
That is correct.
         Α
         0
                 If you'll look at Page 11 --
                 Actually, this is Plaintiff's Exhibit 29.
                          THE COURT: Okay.
         BY MS. CAULEY:
12:03:20
                 If you'll look at Page 11, Midland
         Document 11, this looks like a summary of when
         Midland started reporting the account?
         Α
                 Yes.
                 That would have been November 16th, 2007?
12:03:30 10
     11
                 Correct.
                 Are you aware that Midland Funding sued
     12
         Mr. Brim to collect this debt?
     13
                 I do know that it went to an outside firm.
     14
         Α
12:03:46 15
                 Do you know that a lawsuit was actually
         filed against Mr. Brim?
     16
     17
                 I believe so.
                 Are you aware that the lawsuit was
     18
     19
         actually dismissed by Midland?
12:03:54 20
         Α
                 Yes.
     21
                 Do you know why the lawsuit was dismissed?
     22
                 I know I've seen the reason. But I don't
     23
         recall specifically.
     24
                 Would that reason have been contained on
12:04:04 25
         some screen in Midland's system?
```

```
I believe I saw the reason in the
         production notes where it says -- where it says
         efforts exhausted.
                 There's one more line in that answer.
                 That is the only way I knew that it was
12:04:16
         closed.
                 This is actually referring to Plaintiff's
         Exhibit 18. We go back to Page 27. That's a
         copy of the complaint. Do you see where it says
12:05:26 10
         Midland sued to collect the total sum of $1,344?
     11
                Yes.
         Δ
                And that is different from the amount that
     12
         was actually reported by Midland?
     13
                Yes.
     14
         Α
12:05:36 15
                 In fact, even if you look at the very
     16
         earliest time the account was reported in 2007,
     17
         it was reported with a balance due in excess of
         $1,381, right?
     18
                         MR. LANGLEY: Your Honor, I think
     19
12:05:50 20
         there might be a mixup in the documents that were
         referred to in the deposition versus the
     21
     22
         plaintiff's exhibit that's on the screen.
     23
         Because Ms. Cauley is referring to something that
         refers to a suit for 1,344. And Plaintiff's
     24
         Exhibit 18 is not that unless I'm mistaken.
12:06:08 25
```

```
MS. CAULEY: I'm sorry. It does
         have a different dollar number, Your Honor.
                                                         The
         exhibit says $1,381.
                         THE COURT: I can't -- okay.
                         MS. CAULEY: It may have been a
12:06:24
         typographical error because on Line 39, it does
         say 13 --
                         MR. LANGLEY: What is Document 27?
         Would that be from the Midland production?
                         (Discussion off the record.)
12:06:40 10
     11
                         THE COURT: What's the dispute?
                         MS. CAULEY: The amount contained
     12
         within the deposition, Your Honor, is different
     13
         than the amount -- the first amount that I read
     14
12:07:00 15
         is actually -- must be a typographical error,
     16
         because the amount on the complaint in the
     17
         plaintiff's exhibit and later on --
                         THE COURT: 1,381 instead of
     18
         1,344?
     19
12:07:16 20
                         MS. CAULEY: Yes.
     21
                         THE COURT: Okay.
     22
                         MS. CAULEY: Start back.
     23
         BY MS. CAULEY:
     24
                 Even if you look at the very earliest time
12:07:22 25
        the account was reported in 2007, it was reported
```

```
with a balance due in excess of the $1,381,
         right?
                 That's correct.
                 Then the next stapled group starts on Page
         43 and goes to 45. It is account media.
12:07:42
                         THE COURT: How close are you to
         finishing?
                         MS. CAULEY: I'm very. Ten
         minutes.
                         THE COURT: Well, let's recess for
12:07:56 10
     11
         lunch, because we can't get to defendant's
         questions anyway before lunch.
     12
     13
                         MS. CAULEY: Okay.
                         THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen
     14
12:08:04 15
         of the jury, you're under the same instruction
     16
         I've given you earlier. Please be back in an
     17
         hour and 15 minutes.
                 For your information, I just want you to
     18
         know we're going to recess at 3:30 this afternoon
     19
12:08:16 20
         because I'm sick. I'm going to the doctor. And
         I just made an appointment. So we're going to
     21
     22
         recess at 3:30. Just so you know that. And the
     23
         lawyers know it, too. Be back if an hour and 15
     24
         minutes.
12:08:34 25
                         MR. BENNETT: Your Honor, we might
```

try to winnow out maybe the credit bureau depositions which might be redundant. Because each of them now is about an hour.

THE COURT: Well, it's okay. Whatever y'all want to do is fine. Y'all just stay for just a minute.

(Jury excused.)

(In open court. Jury not

present.)

12:08:44

12:09:16 10

12:09:30 15

12:09:44 20

12:09:58 25

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

THE COURT: I apologize. I have a relapse. I was much better. But I am sick as a dog today. And I called my doctor and asked if I could see him at -- hopefully he can give me a shot. Plan to be here tomorrow, just for your information. I plan to be here Friday. I'm not going to make anybody hang around because I have the flu. I think they can work miracles with a Z-pack and shot. So I'm going to have to stop at 3:30.

MR. BENNETT: I think we have

Mr. Brim and I don't know -- you know, over

lunch, we'll figure out whether we need the

credit reporting agencies' depositions. The main

purpose was to authenticate the documents that

are now in. If that's the case and the defendant

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
doesn't have a lot of evidence of its own, I
         don't think.
                         MR. LANGLEY: With respect to the
         credit reporting agencies?
                         MR. BENNETT: No.
                                             In general.
12:10:08
         Hopefully we can be closed tomorrow.
                         THE COURT: Oh, I think we will,
         too.
                         MR. LANGLEY: Our evidence will be
12:10:16 10
         very short. If they don't offer the CRA
     11
         depositions, we may offer very limited portions.
     12
         But not lengthy.
                         THE COURT: That's okay. See
     13
         y'all in an hour and 15 minutes.
     14
                         (Luncheon recess.)
12:10:26 15
     16
                          (In open court. Jury present.)
     17
                         THE COURT: Please be seated
         everyone. And Mr. Sykstus, if you will start
     18
         being Angelique Danielle Ross, that would be
     19
13:40:18 20
         wonderful. And if you will read your questions,
         that would be great.
     21
     22
                         MS. CAULEY: Yes.
     23
         BY MS. CAULEY:
     24
                 If you'll go to Midland Document 91, which
13:40:40 25
        is Exhibit 29 in the plaintiff's trial notebook,
```

```
these are the bureau reports by the reporting
         data screens.
                 Yes.
                 Is there a screen for each month that an
         account is reported to the credit bureaus?
13:41:00
                 Yes.
         Α
                 Does Midland report to all three of the
         major credit reporting agencies?
         Α
                 Yes.
13:41:08 10
                 This shows that began reporting on
     11
         November 16th, 2007?
     12
         Α
                Yes.
                 It has an amount past due of $1,587?
     13
     14
         Α
                 Yes.
13:41:20 15
                 At the top, it looks like a balance of
         $1,799?
     16
     17
         Α
                 Yes.
                 Do you know which balance was reporting,
     18
         or were both reporting to the credit report?
     19
13:41:32 20
         Α
                 This screen was printed on 6-7-10. The
         information at the top would have been the
     21
     22
         balance at the time that the screen was printed.
     23
         Whereas the information underneath, bureau
     24
         reports by reporting date, would have been
         information reported to the credit bureaus as of
13:41:48 25
```

```
November.
                 November 16th, 2007, the balance reported
         as unpaid and past due was $1,587?
                 Yes.
         Α
                 Then the following month, the balance
13:42:00
         increased?
         Α
                 Yes.
                 And in 2008, the information remained the
         same except that the balance increased to $1,602?
13:42:12 10
                 Yes.
     11
                 If you will, just review it. It looks
         like each month the information remains the same
     12
     13
         except the balance increases for February to
         March, 2008, and then to April of 2008; is that
     14
13:42:26 15
         right?
     16
                 Yes.
     17
                 March of 2008, it looks like there is an
         address change?
     18
                 Yes.
     19
         Α
13:42:40 20
                 And the balance increased in May of 2008?
     21
                 Yes.
         Α
     22
                 The June to July balance remained the same
     23
         but in August, the address goes back to an
     24
         Alabama address but has the same unpaid balance;
13:42:54 25
         is that right?
```

```
Α
                 Yes.
                 For every month after August of 2008, it
         looks like everything remains the same except the
         balance goes up each month until February, 2010?
                 Yes.
13:43:18
                 And no monthly payments were ever recorded
         on the account?
                 That's correct.
         Α
         Q
                 Did your name used to be Purvis?
13:43:30 10
         Α
                 Yes.
     11
                 And your current last name is Ross?
         0
     12
         Α
                 Ross.
                 Are you aware of -- you have already told
     13
         me the records indicate that Midland never
     14
         communicated with Dell regarding Mr. Brim's
13:43:48 15
     16
         dispute, correct?
     17
                 Correct.
                 Midland never communicated with Redstone
     18
         regarding it?
     19
13:43:56 20
         Α
                 Correct.
                 And the only communications with respect
     21
     22
         to Mr. Brim's account with respect to the
     23
         reporting agencies are the ACDVs and the UDF
     24
         responses?
13:44:10 25
         A
                 Those and I quess the regular monthly
```

```
reporting.
                 The regular reporting is done monthly and
         then the ACDVs and the UDFs?
                 Yes.
                 There's no indication that telephone calls
13:44:18
         were made to the reporting agencies, correct?
                 Correct.
         Α
                 There is no record in Mr. Brim's account
         notes that indicate Midland contacted any other
13:44:28 10
         party regarding Mr. Brim's dispute?
     11
                 Correct.
     12
                 Let me hand you -- and it's going to be
         Plaintiff's Exhibit 36. Let me hand you that.
     13
         We're looking at Document Number 206. Are you
     14
         familiar with what this document is?
13:45:28 15
     16
                 Yes.
     17
                 Not going to mark it as an exhibit because
         it does have Mr. Brim's social security number on
     18
         it. Is this a universal data form?
     19
13:45:42 20
         Α
                 Yes.
     21
                 This is a form Midland sent in to the
     22
         credit bureaus?
     23
                 Yes.
     24
                 Instructing them to delete Midland's
13:45:50 25
        reporting of an account?
```

```
Α
                 Yes.
                 And what was the date of this universal
         data form?
                 9 - 9 - 10.
                 As we sit here today, are you aware that
13:45:56
         through documentation from Redstone Federal
         Credit Union that Dell did verify it did receive
         Mr. Brim's payment?
                 I am aware of that, yes.
13:46:12 10
                 So there is no longer any dispute that the
     11
         payment was made by Mr. Brim and he did not owe
         this debt?
     12
     13
                 Correct.
                 Prior to July, 2010, when you told me
     14
13:46:22 15
         earlier today some changes may have been made
         with respect to the Fair Credit Reporting Act
     16
     17
         from October, 2007, up through July 1st, 2010,
         were Midland's policies and procedures for
     18
         handling the ACDV the same?
     19
13:46:38 20
         Α
                 I believe so, yes.
     21
                 As far as you are aware, there were no
     22
         changes in how ACDVs are responded to from
     23
         October of 2007 to July 1st, 2010?
                 No. Not that I can recall.
     24
         Α
13:46:52 25
         0
                 Are there any type of reports maintained
```

```
on the consumer relations liaisons with respect
         to the number of ACDVs they review or the number
         of disputes they review on a weekly or monthly or
         quarterly basis?
         Α
                 Yes.
13:47:06
                 What are those reports?
         Α
                 They are production reports.
                 How are they done? Monthly, quarterly?
                 There's one report that is run daily and
         Α
13:47:18 10
         another that is, I guess, weekly.
     11
                 Are these production reports done by
     12
         employee or by department?
     13
                 By employee.
                 And what do they contain? What type of
     14
13:47:28 15
         information?
     16
                 How many accounts each person worked in a
     17
         certain time frame.
                 Are there goals for liaisons to meet with
     18
         respect to how many accounts they work?
     19
13:47:38 20
         Α
                 Per day, yes.
     21
                 How many accounts is a liaison expected to
     22
         work per day?
     23
                 About 70 accounts.
     24
                 Is there any type of incentive program or
13:47:48 25
         compensation that is provided if they work more
```

```
than 70?
                No.
         Α
                 Is there any type of discipline or do they
         receive any type of write-up if they do not meet
         their quota?
13:47:58
                 There could be. But generally, I would
         say no because, depending on the volume or the
         circumstances, there may be times when they need
         to be lower than that number because of whatever
13:48:10 10
         is going on at the time.
                 If it is just one day here or there or a
     11
     12
         couple of days during a particularly busy time,
         if the employee falls below the 70, there
     13
         wouldn't be disciplinary action necessarily?
     14
                Correct.
13:48:24 15
         Α
                Do the liaisons work 8:00 to 5:00, 9:00 to
     16
     17
         5:00?
                Most of them work somewhere between --
     18
         some start earlier. 6:00 to 2:30. 7:00 to 3:30,
     19
13:48:42 20
         8:00 to 4:30.
     21
                Did they take a half-hour lunch, an hour
     22
         lunch?
     23
                Most of the time, they take a half-hour
     24
         lunch.
13:48:52 25
         Q Do they get any other breaks during the
```

```
day?
                 Yes. Standard two 15-minute breaks.
                 None of the employees in consumer
         relations actually ever responded to an ACDV with
         respect to Mr. Brim?
13:49:02
                Correct.
                 Everything we've looked at with respect to
         Mr. Brim's account was handled according to
         Midland's policies and procedures at the time,
13:49:10 10
         correct?
     11
                 Correct.
                 If ACDVs were received from other
     12
     13
         consumers, alleging the same thing Mr. Brim was
     14
         alleging, they would have been handled the same
         way Mr. Brim's ACDV was handled; is that correct?
13:49:22 15
     16
                 It would depend.
     17
                 If everything were the same as Mr. Brim's
         response, then the response to the ACDV would be
     18
         the same?
     19
13:49:34 20
         Α
                 That's probably likely.
     21
                         MS. CAULEY: That's all we have,
     22
         Your Honor.
     23
                         MR. LANGLEY: Your Honor, for
     24
         defendant's cross-examination of Angelique Ross,
13:49:50 25
         Mr. Tompkins is going to take over the role of
```

```
Ms. Ross.
                          THE COURT: Okay. Neither one of
         you look like you just had a baby. I'll tell you
         that.
                 You're asking your own questions that you
13:50:18
         asked on deposition?
                          MR. LANGLEY: Some were Penny's.
         Some were mine.
                           CROSS-EXAMINATION
13:50:26 10
         BY MR. LANGLEY:
     11
                 Have you ever held any other positions at
         Midland?
     12
     13
         Α
                 Yes.
     14
                 What were they?
13:50:30 15
                 Consumer relations liaison and consumer
         Α
         liaison lead.
     16
     17
                 How long were you the liaison?
         Q
                 Approximately six months.
     18
     19
                 And then I presume you were promoted to
13:50:42 20
         consumer relations manager?
     21
                 I was the consumer liaison first. Then
     22
         promoted to lead. Then promoted to manager.
     23
                 How long did you work as the lead?
     24
         Α
                 About two-and-a-half years.
13:50:52 25
         0
                 Tell me approximately when you started at
```

```
Midland.
                 It was March 17th, I believe, 2003.
                 As the consumer relations manager, did you
         supervise other employees?
         Α
                Yes.
13:51:06
         0
                How many?
                 I currently supervise, directly supervise
               I have supervised up to nine.
         two.
                 In your position for the past four years,
13:51:16 10
         have you always had responsibilities for
         supervising employees in that position?
     11
                Yes.
     12
         Α
     13
                 That would range currently at two. How
         many was it in 2009?
     14
                For most of 2009, it was between six and
13:51:28 15
     16
         seven employees.
     17
                 Why the reduced number of employees?
                 I managed two supervisors. They managed
     18
     19
         the rest of that number of people. So those
13:51:40 20
         seven that I previously managed, those two people
         managed that group. And I managed the two
     21
     22
         supervisors.
     23
                 Who were the two supervisors that you
         managed?
     24
13:51:48 25
         A Roque Faura, R-O-Q-U-E. The last name is
```

```
F-A-U-R-A. And Michelle Lusk.
                 What are their job titles?
         0
                 Consumer relations supervisor.
                 Then the two of those consumer relations
         0
         supervisor managed approximately seven employees?
13:52:02
                 Total, yes.
         Α
                 What are the positions of those employees?
                 Consumer relations liaison one and
         Δ
         consumer relations liaison two.
                 Are there still consumer relations leads?
13:52:16 10
     11
         Α
                 No.
     12
                 Has that become the consumer relations
     13
         supervisor position?
     14
                 Basically. There is some difference, but
         Α
13:52:26 15
         yes.
                 The consumer relations department is the
     16
         only department at Midland that is responsible
     17
         for the handling of consumer disputes either
     18
         directly through Midland or through the credit
     19
13:52:40 20
         bureaus?
                 I'm not sure what you mean.
     21
     22
                 When a dispute comes in through a consumer
     23
         to Midland and it is a dispute regarding whether
     24
         or not they owe the account or owe the debt, what
13:52:50 25
         department would that dispute go to for review?
```

```
Any review of the dispute would come to
         consumer relations.
                 What other duties do you have?
                 Basically, the overall overseeing of the
         operations of consumer relations.
13:53:00
                 Have your duties changed at all during the
         four years you served as a consumer relations
         manager?
         Α
                Yes.
                 What is different now?
13:53:08 10
     11
                 Initially, there were no consumer
         relations supervisors. So I would handle all of
     12
         the escalated issues. Now that is split between
     13
     14
         several people.
                 Would any other employees be responsible
13:53:20 15
         other than those three individuals for handling
     16
     17
         escalated disputes?
     18
                Not responsible. There may be some that
         could take an escalated call if the managers and
     19
13:53:32 20
         supervisors were not there.
                 Ultimately, it would come to a supervisor
     21
     22
         or to you?
     23
                 Yes.
     24
                Any other changes in your duties over the
13:53:40 25
        past four years?
```

```
Α
                 No.
                 What are the duties for the consumer
         relations supervisors?
                 They first have to manage the consumer
         relations liaisons directly. They also respond
13:53:48
         to escalated consumer issues. They also may
         answer questions from their team members.
                 And that would be the liaisons?
         Q
         Α
                 Yes.
13:54:00 10
                 Anything else?
                 I'm responsible for the whole operation.
     11
     12
         They are partly responsible for the day-to-day
     13
         operations of consumer relations.
     14
                 The consumer correspondence that the
13:54:12 15
         liaisons process, is that in response to
     16
         correspondence received directly from the
     17
         consumer?
     18
         Α
                Yes.
                 And that is how it came to consumer
     19
13:54:22 20
         relations department in the first place?
                 Correct.
     21
         Α
     22
                 If an ACDV comes in, claiming an account
     23
         has been paid in full, are those ACDVs also
     24
         handled by the batch interface system?
13:54:38 25
         A It would depend.
```

```
What would it depend on?
         Q
                 It would depend on information on the
         actual Midland account. Not the ACDV itself.
                 Tell me what information on the Midland
         system would cause an ACDV, claiming that its
13:54:48
         debt had been paid in full, to be handled by an
         individual versus the batch interface system.
                 There may be specific codes on the account
         or the account may reside in a specific location
         in our system.
13:55:02 10
     11
                 What would some of those codes on the
         account be?
     12
                For instance, if the account had a DIS
     13
         dispute code, the system could select that ACDV
     14
         for manual review.
13:55:14 15
                 What are the other codes where the system
     16
     17
         can select an ACDV for manual review?
                 I don't know off -- I can't think of
     18
         others offhand. It may select or move the
     19
13:55:24 20
         account for being in a specific location in our
     21
         system.
     22
                 What would those locations be?
     23
                 It could be -- there are several. 45G,
     24
         45P, 45F.
13:56:06 25
         Q What does 45G mean?
```

```
It means the consumer disputed in writing
         within 45 days that the validation letter and the
         account is currently under an investigation.
                                                          And
         it was a general dispute. Non specific.
                 What about 45P?
13:56:20
                So it means all of the same things except
         this dispute. The dispute was that the account
         was paid prior.
                 Paid prior to Midland purchasing the
13:56:32 10
         account?
     11
                Yes.
         Δ
                What about F?
     12
                All of the same. The account or the
     13
         dispute is that the account is fraudulent.
     14
13:56:38 15
                If a consumer sends a letter within 45
         0
         days of the validation letter, that goes out on
     16
         the account with a general dispute. A code of
     17
         45G is placed on that account; is that right?
     18
                Yes. Well, that's actually the location
     19
13:56:54 20
         it is moved to. The code would be the DIS code.
     21
                So the account itself is moved to a 45G
     22
         location in the system?
     23
         Α
                 Yes.
     24
                Meaning the computer system?
13:57:04 25
         Α
                Yes.
```

```
What happens if a consumer sends in a
         Q
         dispute in writing but it's not within the 45
         days of the validation letter?
                 It would depend on exactly what they sent
         in.
13:57:18
                 Can you tell me what the options are?
                If the consumer -- I'm sorry. For general
         or just --
                 Let's start with general.
13:57:24 10
                 Okay. If the consumer only sends in a
     11
         letter with their general dispute outside of the
     12
         45-day period, the consumer would receive a
     13
         letter, stating that we need additional
         information related to their dispute.
     14
13:57:36 15
                Does that letter have a code that is
         referred to or refers to it?
     16
     17
                 Yes.
         Α
                 What is that code?
     18
         Q
                 OCPP.
     19
         Α
13:57:44 20
                 Does that stand for something?
                 QC stands for quality control. I don't
     21
     22
         know what the first P is. But the second P is
     23
         for proof. So I think it is provide proof.
     24
                 If a consumer sends a letter, disputing an
         account, but there's no documentation included
13:58:00 25
```

with the letter and it is outside the 45 days of the first letter from Midland, Midland will send out a form letter which is the QCPP letter, stating to the consumer that additional information is needed? 13:58:12 That's correct. As long as the consumer has not requested that we cease contact with them. If a letter contains a dispute and also requests that Midland cease contact, is that same 13:58:22 10 11 form letter sent out? 12 Α No. 13 Is any form letter sent out? 14 Α No. 13:58:30 15 What happens to the account? 16 The account is marked as disputed. 17 Annotated with what was received from the 18 consumer. And another code is placed on the account to indicate that the consumer wants no 19 13:58:42 20 further contact. 21 What is that code? 22 026. Α 23 Are there any policies or procedures that 24 instruct the consumer relations employees on how 13:58:54 25 to review the documentation that's supplied with

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
respect to a dispute?
                 Yes.
         Α
                 Where are those policies maintained?
                 That would be in the consumer relations
13:59:04
         manual.
                         MR. LANGLEY: Your Honor, may I
         walk around to use the Elmo?
                         THE COURT: Sure.
                          (Discussion off the record.)
14:00:34 10
                         MR. LANGLEY: This is Plaintiff's
     11
         34.
     12
         BY MR. LANGLEY:
                 Pages 168 through 169, that's the
     13
         guidelines for handling written disputes that it
     14
         has been paid prior; is that correct?
14:00:52 15
                 Correct.
     16
                 The liaison is to review the account and
     17
         verify that the social, name, and address match?
     18
                 Yes. If they can. Consumers don't always
     19
         Α
14:01:06 20
         put all the information on their correspondence.
     21
                 They're also looking for proof. Here it
     22
         says it could be the front and back of a
     23
         cancelled check with a settlement offer letter or
     24
         paid letter with matching account number?
14:01:16 25
         A Yes.
```

 ${\it CHERYL~K.~POWELL},~{\it CCR},~{\it RPR},~{\it FCRR}$

That matching account number matching Midland's account number or the original creditor's account number? It should be with the original creditor's account number. 14:01:32 If the account is referred to ACQ, then a different warning code, which is 286, is entered on the account; is that right? That's actually when the account is 14:01:46 10 deleted. So that would be where it says if proof 11 is valid, update to delete. And then that code would be added. 12 13 THE COURT: Let me just tell the jury when they refer to page number, it's the 14 14:02:00 15 number in the bottom right hand of the document. Sometimes it's hard to read because there might 16 be holes punched in it. 17 18 BY MR. LANGLEY: If an ACDV is not handled automatically 19 14:02:14 20 through the batch interface system, are there steps contained in any type of manual or policy, 21 whether it is printed or just a note on the 22 23 system, that tells an individual in consumer 24 relations how to investigate that credit dispute? 14:02:28 25 A Well, as far as using the actual system,

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

there's a tutorial that is available through the E-Oscar system to show them how to actually put information in. Other than that, we just have some screen prints that show the screen in order to find the information on our system. 14:02:40 You lost me just a little bit. You have screen prints that tell the employees where to find the information on your system. Can you explain that more for me? Does consumer relations have the same 14:02:52 10 11 computer system that the collections department might have? 12 13 Yes. 14 They have access -- consumer relations has access to the same screens as the collections 14:03:02 15 16 department? 17 Yes. So the information would be that there 18 might be a screen that would tell them where to 19 14:03:10 20 find the payment history or previous addresses or something of that nature? 21 22 Yes. Α 23 There are quite a few screens in the 24 system? 14:03:20 25 A Right.

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

Judging from the documents that were produced. To sort of make that easier for the person in consumer relations to actually find which screen to go to? Α Yes. 14:03:28 Like a cheat sheet, for lack of a better term? Α Yes. Is the E-Oscar tutorial also something 14:03:36 10 that can be printed? 11 Yeah. You can print it. Do all employees in the consumer relations 12 13 department take the E-Oscar tutorial? Yes. 14 Α Since January of 2008, you have been in 14:03:46 15 charge of overseeing the handling of ACDVs? 16 17 Yes. Α Did you or the supervisors that are under 18 you conduct any type of review of responses to 19 ACDVs? 14:03:58 20 21 We may review non-submitted responses. 22 But if there's a question about a response, we 23 review the account and the ACDV to look at the 24 most appropriate response. 14:04:16 25 Q The next document we're looking at is

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
Plaintiff's 15. Specifically the second page.
         Are you at the bottom where it says received
         certified letter?
                 Yes.
                 It is postmarked July 30th. How do you
14:05:42
         know who received it?
                 There is a code that's three columns over
         from that notation.
                 Is that the WGC or the BU8?
14:05:54 10
                 It is the BU8.
     11
                 Who is represented by the BU8?
         0
                 Melanie Bloome.
     12
         Α
                Is she in the St. Cloud office or San
     13
     14
         Diego?
14:06:06 15
                 San Diego.
         Α
     16
                 Is she a liaison or supervisor?
     17
                 Liaison.
                 Still with Plaintiff's Exhibit 15, if you
     18
         go back to the collection detail, what was the
     19
14:06:18 20
         date upon receipt of this letter?
     21
                 The account is noted. It would have
     22
         already had cease and desist codes on there. So
     23
         the information would have been noted and then
     24
         scanned.
14:06:30 25
         O Who handled this letter that was received?
```

```
Melanie Bloome.
         Α
                I see it is on the 13th?
                 Yes.
                 It has BU8. Ms. Bloome got this second
         letter from Mr. Brim?
14:06:46
                Yes.
         Α
                 Do you know if Ms. Bloome is the person
         who has the handwritten notes on Page 5?
                 It looks like her handwriting.
         Α
14:06:54 10
                 What does that represent?
         0
     11
                 The Midland account number.
         Α
                 That was not on the letter; she would have
     12
     13
         had to look that up in the system?
     14
         Α
                 Yes.
14:07:10 15
                Midland did not consider the payment of
     16
         $954.12 as even a partial payment on the account?
     17
                 Midland didn't. Well, we don't -- we
         wouldn't necessarily credit that payment to the
     18
         account or proof of that payment generally. The
     19
14:07:30 20
         payments made like that, the actual payment would
         be sent to Midland.
     21
     22
                 Page 79, Line 2. So basically, the ACDV
     23
         comes in from Transunion. The data matches.
     24
         it is verified as accurate by the system?
14:07:46 25
         A It probably would have been verified.
```

```
Probably modified to show that there was a
         dispute. And based on the codes and the queue
         location, the information compared and then
         responded to is modified.
                 The notes do say account dispute modified
14:08:04
         E-Oscar dispute type 12. What is that?
                 I don't remember offhand, but it is
         dispute type that Mr. Brim would have selected
         when submitting his dispute through the credit
14:08:26 10
         bureau.
     11
                So it would have been -- the type would
     12
         come through the credit bureau itself; that's not
     13
         a type that Midland would have selected?
                Correct.
     14
         Α
                 Transunion, upon receipt of that ACDV --
14:08:34 15
         Dell was not contacted?
     16
     17
         Α
                No.
                Redstone Federal Credit Union, where the
     18
         bank statement was from, was not contacted to
     19
14:08:46 20
         verify whether that bank statement was valid or
     21
         whether a payment had been made?
     22
                 No.
     23
                 Does Midland not have a copy of that ACDV
     24
         response? Do they?
14:08:54 25
         A I don't think so.
```

```
They can print from the system but only
         Q
         for a period of time; is that right?
                 Yes.
         Α
                 Is it six months?
         Α
                 120 days.
14:09:02
                 So after 120 days, any ACDV response would
         not be available for print by Midland?
                 That's correct.
         Δ
                 Skip forward to Page 90, Line 5. This
         will be reference to Defendant's 15.
14:09:28 10
     11
                          (Discussion off the record.)
                         MR. BENNETT: Your Honor,
     12
         Plaintiff's 57 is the same document.
     13
                         MS. CAULEY: It's 58. I can't
     14
14:10:32 15
         read.
                         MR. BENNETT: 58. Oh, I'm sorry.
     16
     17
         It's not. It is a different screen print. Your
         Honor, it looks -- it is just a different print
     18
         version of the same document, but it isn't
     19
14:10:54 20
         exactly the same one.
                         THE COURT: Which one? 58 or 59?
     21
     22
                         MR. BENNETT: 58, Your Honor.
                                                          5 8
     23
         is the Transunion printout. And I think what you
         have is Midland's printout, right?
     24
                         MR. LANGLEY: That's correct.
14:11:04 25
```

BY MR. LANGLEY: Page 38, that is a copy of the actual ACDV response on February 25th, 2010, correct? Correct. And that was in response to an ACDV from 14:11:16 Transunion? Α Yes. This was handled by the batch interface system? 14:11:24 10 Yes. Α 11 Which is why it is signed by Midland rather than by an individual; is that correct? 12 13 That's correct. Next document we'll be referencing is 14 Plaintiff's 24. We'll start with Midland 14:11:34 15 Document 49 through 51. Please tell me what that 16 17 document is. It is the customer additional data screen. 18 19 Is that just another view of the customer 14:12:18 20 additional data that we looked at earlier? If you don't remember, it's okay. 21 22 I believe so. Yeah. I believe it is just 23 printed on a different date. So Page 49, 351 -- through 51 is the same 24 14:12:34 25 as what is represented on Page 7 except the date

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
printed?
                 Yeah. It is actually a different view.
         It is the same information. But on Page 45, if
         you see in the middle where it says click to view
         printable version, if you click on that, you get
14:12:48
         Page 7.
               Okay. Then if you'll go on to Page 55
         through 57, is the portfolio master information?
         Α
                 Yes.
14:13:00 10
                 Do you know what that is?
     11
                 Yes. It is another screen in our system
         that gives account information.
     12
                 Does this information refer to the
     13
         portfolio within which Mr. Brim's account was
     14
         obtained by Midland?
14:13:14 15
                 Yes.
     16
     17
                 And it has a purchase date of October 10,
         2007?
     18
     19
                 Yes.
         Α
14:13:20 20
                 And account type -- do you know what CL
     21
         represents?
     22
                 I don't know.
     23
                 The seller is identified as Dell Financial
     24
         Services?
14:13:28 25
         A Yes.
```

```
Number of accounts, 63,346?
         Q
         Α
                 Yes.
                 Mr. Brim's account was purchased in a
         portfolio that contained 63,346 accounts?
                 Yes.
14:13:42
                 August of 2008 is also when the XF dispute
         code was added?
                Yes.
         Α
                 Going back to Plaintiff's 34. Second
14:14:16 10
                 Will you look at document 169? It's
         page.
     11
         within the consumer relations operations manual.
         Look at Box Number 5, which is on Page 169.
     12
     13
                 Okay.
                 In the action column, it says, if unable
     14
         to determine if proof is valid, account will be
14:14:38 15
     16
         reported to ACQ, which is acquisitions; is that
     17
         right?
     18
         Α
                Yes.
     19
                If consumer relations determines proof is
14:14:50 20
         invalid, is the account referred to acquisitions?
                N \circ .
     21
         Α
                         MR. LANGLEY: Nothing further.
     22
     23
                          THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. You
     24
         can't ask questions because she's not here. Not
14:15:10 25
         this witness.
```

MS. CAULEY: Your Honor, the plaintiff is going to read from the deposition of Kimberly Hughes from Experian. Also, Your Honor, this morning we inadvertently pulled out Plaintiff's Exhibit 70, which is a credit report 14:15:34 from Experian, which was at the deposition and which is referred to in what we're going the read. So we will actually get those Exhibit 70s back and provide copies to the jurors. MR. BENNETT: Move for admission. 14:15:50 10 11 MS. CAULEY: We do ask the Court to admit Plaintiff's Exhibit 70. 12 THE COURT: It is admitted. 13 14 MS. CAULEY: Thank you. THE COURT: And you're now 14:15:56 15 Ms. Hughes? 16 MR. SYKSTUS: That's correct, Your 17 18 Honor. THE COURT: And you should 19 14:16:00 20 consider this as if she was here in person, 21 testifying under oath today. 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 BY MS. CAULEY: 24 Will you please state your name for the 14:16:10 25 record?

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
Kimberly Hughes.
         Α
                 Ms. Hughes, are you here pursuant today to
         a trial deposition subpoena that was served on
         Experian?
                 That is my understanding.
14:16:18
         Α
                 Are you currently employed by Experian?
         Α
                 Yes, I am.
                 Can you tell us, please, what your
         position is there?
                 I am a clients and litigation analyst in
14:16:26 10
     11
         the regulatory compliance for Experian Solutions,
     12
         Inc.
                 And can you please tell the jury what your
     13
         duties in that position include?
     14
                 Well, I'm currently transitioning into
14:16:38 15
     16
         that role. For purposes of this deposition and
     17
         testimony, I am testifying as a corporate
         representative in my capacity. My previous
     18
         capacity as a litigation and compliance
     19
14:16:52 20
         specialist in consumer affairs whereby I assisted
         consumers with questions or concerns they had
     21
     22
         with information appearing on their Experian
     23
         credit report and also assist with litigation
     24
         research for Experian and sometimes providing
14:17:20 25
         testimony in litigation matters.
```

```
And can you tell me, please, what type of
         business Experian is?
                 Experian is a credit reporting agency.
                 And as part of its duties as a credit
         reporting agency, does Experian compile
14:17:34
         information from various credit data furnishers
         on specific consumers?
                 Yes.
         Α
                 Does Experian also create credit reports
14:17:46 10
         on the consumers?
     11
                 Experian creates and stores credit
     12
         information from public records and other
         subscribers of information. And when a third
     13
         party requests the contents of those files, they
     14
14:17:58 15
         are compiled in the form of a credit report.
     16
                 Okay. And those credit reports -- once
     17
         they're compiled -- can be provided to potential
         credit grantors?
     18
                 Yes. Yes, ma'am.
     19
14:18:10 20
                 And are they also provided to potential
     21
         employers?
     22
                 If a potential employer certifies and
         meets certain requirements, Experian does release
     23
     24
         credit information for the purposes of employment
14:18:24 25
         verification.
```

And as an employee of Experian, are you familiar with a furnisher, Midland Credit Management? I know of Midland. I don't know what I would say that -- I don't know that I would say 14:18:34 that I am familiar with Midland. Does Midland Credit Management furnish credit information regarding consumers to Experian? 14:18:44 10 Yes, they do. 11 MR. BENNETT: Your Honor, we cut 12 out huge chunks of this for expediency. THE COURT: All right. That's 13 14 good. 14:19:04 15 BY MS. CAULEY: Page 38. Okay. From July 29th through 16 17 February 17th, 2010, did the Midland Credit Management account continue to be reported by 18 Midland Credit Management as a collection account 19 14:19:18 20 during that time period? 21 Based on review of the records Experian 22 has available, I believe that to be correct. 23 If you will go back to Plaintiff's 11, 24 which for purposes of this trial is Plaintiff's 14:19:32 25 Exhibit 70, and please turn to Page 7 of that

> Federal Official Court Reporter 1729 Fifth Avenue, North Birmingham, AL 35203 256-508-4050/wrd4wrdrpr@aol.com

CHERYL K. POWELL, CCR, RPR, FCRR

```
consumer disclosure, which is Bates Page 136.
                 Yes, ma'am.
         Α
                 Can you tell the jury, please, what
         inquiries are that display on a consumer
         disclosure report?
14:19:48
                         MR. BENNETT: Judge, again, you
         don't have a copy of this. We'll give it to the
         jury tomorrow.
                         THE COURT: You will have it with
         you in the jury room. But you can see it on the
14:19:58 10
     11
         screen.
                Well, inquiries are a record of
     12
         information about the consumer being shared with
     13
         a third party. Specifically, the inquiries that
     14
14:20:10 15
         you're looking at on this Bates Label 136, these
         are inquiries shared with others which means that
     16
         these are -- were companies who have reviewed
     17
         credit information about Mr. Brim based on some
     18
         action he took such as applying for credit or
     19
14:20:26 20
         financing.
                 And if we look at those inquiries, we see
     21
     22
         that American Express obtained information
     23
         regarding Mr. Brim on May 14th, 2009?
                 That's correct.
     24
         Α
                And CBC Innovis obtained information
14:20:40 25
         0
```

```
regarding Mr. Brim on January 15th, 2009?
                 That's correct.
         Α
                 And if you look at the CBC inquiry, does
         it tell you the reason the inquiry was made?
                 Yes.
14:20:56
         Α
                 What reason was given?
                Our records indicate that the CBC Innovis
         inquiry was for a conventional mortgage on behalf
         of 224 RBC Bank USA.
14:21:12 10
                 And if you come down to the next entry,
     11
         there is a Croll Factual Data that had an inquiry
         December 10th, 2008. Do you see that?
     12
     13
                Yes, ma'am.
     14
                 What was the reason for that inquiry by
         Croll Factual Data?
14:21:24 15
                 The document indicates it was for real
     16
     17
         estate loan on behalf -- the document indicates
         that it was for real estate loan on behalf of
     18
         0102 Platinum Mortgage.
     19
14:21:40 20
         0
                And when these entities have an inquiry
         regarding a specific consumer or their credit,
     21
     22
         are they required under the Fair Credit Reporting
     23
         Act to provide a reason for that inquiry?
     24
                Experian will not release information to
14:21:54 25
         an entity about a consumer unless that entity
```

```
certifies that they have a permissible purpose.
                 So the reasons provided that are
         documented by Experian in Plaintiff's Exhibit 70
         are those reasons that were provided by the
         entity making the inquiry?
14:22:08
                Yes, ma'am.
                 And if you come down to the fourth entity,
         it says, Credit Plus. And that inquiry was made
         on September 19th, 2008?
14:22:20 10
                 That's correct.
     11
                 And was that also an inquiry for a real
         estate loan on behalf of First Metropolitan
     12
     13
         Mortgage?
                That's what the document indicates.
     14
         Α
14:22:30 15
                And then there was an inquiry by Land Safe
         Credit also on September 19th, 2008?
     16
     17
                 That's correct.
     18
                And what reason was provided to Experian
         for that inquiry?
     19
14:22:44 20
         Α
                 The document indicates the reason provided
         was real estate loan on behalf of Hometown
     21
     22
         Lenders, L.L.C.
     23
                And the -- if we come down one more to
     24
         Credit Plus on July 28th, 2008, that inquiry,
14:22:58 25
         again, indicates it was for a real estate loan on
```

 ${\it CHERYL~K.~POWELL},~{\it CCR},~{\it RPR},~{\it FCRR}$

```
behalf of First Metropolitan Mortgage?
                 Yes, ma'am. That's what is indicated on
         the document.
                         MS. CAULEY: That's all we have of
         this witness, Your Honor.
14:23:18
                         THE COURT: Okay.
                         MR. LANGLEY: Your Honor, could
         you indulge us a moment? This is slightly
         different from what we had discussed yesterday.
         So we need a moment.
14:23:32 10
     11
                         THE COURT: Sure.
                         (Discussion off the record.)
     12
     13
                         MR. LANGLEY: Your Honor,
         Mr. Tompkins will again play the role of female
     14
         this time, Ms. Kimberly Hughes.
14:25:02 15
     16
                         THE COURT: All right. Have a
     17
         seat Ms. Hughes.
                         MR. LANGLEY: This is with
     18
         reference to Plaintiff's Exhibit 70, February,
     19
14:26:02 20
         2010, Experian report. Bates number is cut off
         on this, but it's Page 8 of 12 in the report that
     21
     22
         you were just discussing. Excuse me. Seven of
     23
         12.
     24
                          CROSS-EXAMINATION
14:27:04 25
         BY MR. LANGLEY:
```

```
If you would turn to Exhibit 11, which
         is --
                         THE COURT: 11?
                         MR. LANGLEY: This is the way it
         reads in the deposition transcript.
14:27:12
                What page?
                Page 55, Line 16. And what is described
         in the deposition as Exhibit 11 is actually
         Plaintiff's Exhibit 70.
14:27:24 10
                         THE COURT: Yeah.
     11
         BY MR. LANGLEY:
                 If you would, turn to Exhibit 11, please.
     12
     13
         And specifically, look at the page that bears the
         Bates Number 136.
     14
14:27:32 15
                Yes, sir.
         Α
                Ms. Cauley asked you some questions about
     16
     17
         inquiries from American Express, CBC Innovis,
         Croll Factual Data, Credit Plus, and Land Safe
     18
         Credit. Do you remember those questions?
     19
14:27:48 20
         Α
                Generally, yes.
                 Do you know what specific information any
     21
     22
         of these entities sought from Experian?
     23
                 No, sir. Other than generally credit
         information about this consumer.
     24
14:27:58 25
        Q But you can't say what specific credit
```

```
information they sought?
                 That's correct. I cannot.
                 Do you know what specific credit
         information was provided to these entities by
         Experian?
14:28:12
         Α
                No, sir.
                 And assuming any information was provided,
         do you have any idea how any of these entities
         used that information?
14:28:20 10
                No, sir.
                         MR. LANGLEY: That's all I have.
     11
                         THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
     12
     13
         Are you ready to proceed tomorrow, not today? Or
         what do you want to do?
     14
                         MS. CAULEY: We have Ms. Banks
14:28:54 15
     16
         we're ready to play that will take about 40, 45
     17
         minutes.
     18
                         THE COURT: Okay. That's good.
         Y'all need a break? It is a video deposition.
     19
14:29:02 20
         You should consider that as if Ms. Banks was
         here, testifying under oath.
     21
     22
                         MR. BENNETT: Your Honor, we
     23
         haven't edited anything yet.
                         THE COURT: Does Cheryl have to
     24
14:29:12 25
         take that down, or will you make that available?
```

```
MR. BENNETT: We will make it
         available. I think Ms. Cauley already discussed
         it.
                         THE COURT: Okay.
                         (Videotape played.)
14:29:22
                         MR. BENNETT: Judge, we would ask
         that Exhibit 40 be put in the jury's binders
         overnight.
                         THE COURT: Okay. It is admitted.
15:08:40 10
         And it can be put in overnight.
     11
                         MR. BENNETT: When the jury sees
         it, it would be 42 in their book.
     12
                         THE COURT: Right.
     13
     14
                         (Videotape played.)
15:16:50 15
                         MR. BENNETT: The remaining of
     16
         this requires going through documents the jury
     17
         doesn't have. It's a list of member numbers and
         the dates of pulls that are in other documents.
     18
         We would ask to advance to the end of our
     19
15:17:00 20
         examination to Mr. Langley's, which would be at,
     21
         Eric, at Page 43. And that will cut some time.
     22
                         THE COURT: Okay.
     23
                         (Videotape played.)
     24
                         THE COURT: How much more do you
15:33:26 25
         have? Because I really do have to leave.
```

```
MR. LANGLEY: Probably three pages
         worth.
                         THE COURT: Okay.
                         (Videotape played.)
                         THE COURT: We really do have to
15:37:02
                Just stop. We'll continue with this in
         the morning. Just remember you are on Page 66,
         Line 2.
                         MS. CAULEY: Yes, ma'am.
15:37:12 10
                         THE COURT: I hope you'll have a
     11
         good night. While you're out, please remember
         the instruction I've given you about not
     12
         discussing the case among yourself, not to let
     13
     14
         anyone discuss in it your presence. Not to put
         anything on Facebook or Twitter, or whatever you
15:37:24 15
         do on the computer while you're seated on this
     16
     17
         case about this case. And I will see you at 9:00
         o'clock in the morning I hope. She has your
     18
         telephone numbers. And I'm planning on being
     19
15:37:36 20
         here at 9:00. Okay?
     21
                         (Jury excused.)
     22
                         (The Proceedings were recessed at
     23
         approximately 3:37 p.m. on February 23, 2011.)
     24
     25
```

<u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I, the undersigned, hereby certify that the foregoing pages contain a true and correct transcript of the aforementioned proceedings as is hereinabove set out, as the same was taken down by me in stenotype and later transcribed utilizing computer-aided transcription.

This is the 12th day of February of 2011.

Charge K Powell

14

15

10

11

12

Cheryl Renae King Powell, CCR, RPR, FCRR
Federal Certified Realtime Reporter

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

2425

 ${\it CHERYL~K.~POWELL},~{\it CCR},~{\it RPR},~{\it FCRR}$